

CONFORMALLY LORENTZ PARABOLIC STRUCTURE AND FEFFERMAN LORENTZ METRICS

YOSHINOBU KAMISHIMA

ABSTRACT. We study conformal Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds of $(2n+2)$ -dimension. In order to do so, we introduce *Lorentz parabolic structure* on $(m+2)$ -dimensional manifolds as a G -structure. By using causal conformal vector fields preserving that structure, we shall establish two theorems on compact Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds: One is the coincidence of vanishing curvature between *Weyl conformal curvature tensor* of Fefferman metrics on a Lorentz manifold $S^1 \times N$ and *Chern-Moser curvature tensor* on a strictly pseudoconvex CR -manifold N . Another is the analogue of the conformal rigidity theorem of Obata and Ferrand to the compact Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds admitting noncompact closed causal conformal transformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

A pseudo-Riemannian metric g of signature $(m+1, 1)$ is called a Lorentz metric on an $m+2$ -dimensional smooth manifold. An $m+2$ -dimensional Lorentz manifold M is a smooth manifold equipped with a Lorentz metric. Two Lorentz metrics g, g' are conformal if there exists a positive function u on M such that $g' = u \cdot g$. The equivalence class $[g]$ of g is a conformal class and $(M, [g])$ is called a conformal Lorentz manifold. On the other hand, given a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional strictly pseudoconvex CR -manifold N , C. Fefferman constructed a Lorentz metric on the product $S^1 \times N$ which has the following properties (cf. [21]):

- The conformal class of this metric is a CR -invariant.
- S^1 acts as *lightlike* isometries.

It is interesting to know how the Fefferman-Lorentz metric of $S^1 \times N$ interacts on the CR -structure of N . Compare [21] and general references therein for the relation between Fefferman metrics and the Cartan connection.

Date: November 25, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C55, 57S25, 51M10.

Key words and phrases. Conformal structure, Lorentz structure, G -structure, Conformally flat structure, Weyl curvature tensor, Fefferman metric, Integrability, Uniformization, Transformation groups.

In this paper, we shall take a different approach to the Fefferman-Lorentz metrics on $(2n + 2)$ -dimensional manifolds by introducing a $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure called *Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic* structure. (Compare Section 3.1.)

Recall that *conformal Lorentz structure* on an $m + 2$ -dimensional manifold M is an $O(m + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -structure [18]. An integrable $O(m + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -structure is *conformally flat Lorentz structure* on M . (See also [18, p.10].) We focus on *parabolic subgroup* of $O(m + 1, 1)$ which is defined as follows. Let $PO(m + 1, 1)$ be the real hyperbolic group, then the minimal parabolic subgroup is isomorphic to either $O(m + 1)$ or the similarity subgroup $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, which lifts to the parabolic subgroup of $O(m + 1, 1)$ as $O(m + 1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively.

For $m = 2n$, we define a subgroup $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \leq O(2n + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. If $U(n + 1, 1)$ denotes the unitary Lorentz group which embeds into $O(2n + 2, 2)$, then $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is characterized as the intersection $U(n + 1, 1) \cap (\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ where $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is identified with the stabilizer $PO(2n + 1, 1)_{\infty}$ at the point at infinity.

Then we see that a Fefferman-Lorentz manifold admits a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure by reinterpreting the proof of [21, (5.17) Theorem].

One of our results concerns the relation between a *CR*-manifold N and a Fefferman-Lorentz manifold $S^1 \times N$. We provide a geometric proof to the coincidence of *vanishing* between *Weyl conformal curvature tensor* and *Chern-Moser curvature tensor*. This result may be obtained as a special case of more general calculations by Fefferman [9].

Theorem A (Theorem 7.4.2). *A Fefferman-Lorentz manifold $S^1 \times N$ is conformally flat if and only if N is a spherical CR-manifold.*

By our definition, we obtain the following classes of conformally flat Lorentz parabolic manifolds. We shall give compact examples in each class. (See Section 5.3.)

- Lorentz flat space forms.
- Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds (locally modelled on $(\hat{U}(n + 1, 1), S^{2n+1,1})$).
- Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds $S^1 \times N$ where N is a spherical *CR*-manifold.

In the second part, we study the *Vague Conjecture* [7] that the existence of a global geometric flow determines a compact geometric manifold uniquely, i.e. *isomorphic to the standard model with flat G -structure*. The celebrated theorem of Obata and Ferrand provides a supporting example for this, i.e. if a closed group $\mathbb{R}$ acts conformally on a compact Riemannian manifold, then it is conformal to the standard sphere S^n .

We study the analogue of the theorem of Obata and Ferrand to compact Lorentz manifolds. In general, it is not true only by the existence of a noncompact conformal closed subgroup $\mathbb{R}$. It is a problem which conformal group gives rise to an affirmative answer to the compact Lorentz case, i.e. a compact Lorentz manifold is conformal to the Lorentz model $S^{n-1,1}$. C. Frances and K. Melnick gave a sufficient condition on the nilpotent dimension of a nilpotent Lie group acting conformally on a compact Lorentz manifold. (Compare [11] more generally for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.)

We prove affirmatively the theorem of Obata and Ferrand to the compact Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds under the existence of *two dimensional causal abelian Lie groups*. Let $M = S^1 \times N$ be a compact Fefferman-Lorentz manifold on which S^1 acts as Lorentz lightlike isometries. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M,g)}(S^1)$ the centralizer of S^1 in $\text{Conf}(M, g)$.

Theorem B (Theorem 8.1.1). *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M,g)}(S^1)$ contains a closed noncompact subgroup of dimension 1 at least. Then M is conformally equivalent to the two-fold cover $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ of the standard Lorentz manifold $S^{2n+1,1}$.*

Dimension 2 for the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M,g)}(S^1)$ is rather small relative to the nilpotent dimension in [11]. However the fact that S^1 of M is the group of lightlike isometries with respect to our Fefferman-Lorentz metric is more geometric. In fact, let N_- be a Lorentz hyperbolic 3-manifold $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$. Then $M = S^1 \times N_-$ admits a conformally flat Lorentz metric on which S^1 acts as *spacelike* isometries. (See Remark 8.1.1). The metric is not a Fefferman-Lorentz metric but there exists a *two dimensional noncompact abelian Lie group* $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ acting isometrically on M . On the other hand, if we note that N_- admits a spherical *CR*-structure, then M does admit a Fefferman-Lorentz metric whose conformal Lorentz group is compact (Lorentz isometry group). In addition, the above group $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is not a conformal group of the underlying Fefferman-Lorentz metric.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Cayley-Klein model	4
2.2. Causality	5
3. Conformally Lorentz parabolic geometry	5
3.1. Conformally Lorentz parabolic structure	5
3.2. Lorentz similarity geometry $(\mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}), \mathbb{R}^{m+2})$	7
3.3. Fefferman-Lorentz manifold	8
4. Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz manifold	11
4.1. Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz model	11

4.2. Examples of Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds I	20
5. Uniformization	22
5.1. Uniformization of Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds	22
5.2. Examples of 4-dimensional Lorentz flat parabolic manifolds II	24
5.3. Examples of conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds III	25
6. Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic geometry	27
6.1. One-parameter subgroups in $\hat{U}(n+1, 1)$	28
7. Coincidence of curvature flatness	34
7.1. Causal vector fields on $S^{2n+1,1}$	34
7.2. Nilpotent group case	34
7.3. Compact torus case	35
7.4. Curvature equivalence	38
8. Application to Obata & Ferrand's theorem	43
8.1. Noncompact conformal group actions	43
References	49

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cayley-Klein model. We start with the Cayley-Klein projective model for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. (Compare [1],[3],[10],[13],[26],[31] for instance.) There is an equivariant principal bundle:

$$\mathbb{K}^* \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}(n+2, \mathbb{K}) \cdot \mathbb{K}^*, \mathbb{K}^{n+2} - \{0\}) \xrightarrow{P} (\mathrm{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}^{n+1}).$$

Fix nonnegative integers p, q such that $n = p + q$. The nondegenerate Hermitian form on $\mathbb{K}^{n+2}$ is defined by

$$(2.1.1) \quad \mathcal{B}(x, y) = \bar{x}_1 y_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_{p+1} y_{p+1} - \bar{x}_{p+2} y_{p+2} - \cdots - \bar{x}_{n+2} y_{n+2}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{O}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{K})$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+2, \mathbb{K})$:

$$\{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n+2, \mathbb{K}) \mid \mathcal{B}(Ax, Ay) = \mathcal{B}(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{K}^{n+2}\}.$$

The group $\mathrm{O}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{K})$ leaves invariant the $\mathbb{K}$ -cone in $\mathbb{K}^{n+2} - \{0\}$:

$$(2.1.2) \quad V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{K}^{n+2} - \{0\} \mid \mathcal{B}(x, x) = 0\}.$$

Put

$$(2.1.3) \quad P(V_0) = \begin{cases} S^{p,q} & \approx S^q \times S^p / \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ S^{2p+1, 2q} & \approx S^{2q+1} \times S^{2p+1} / S^1 \\ S^{4p+3, 4q} & \approx S^{4q+3} \times S^{4p+3} / S^3 \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathrm{PO}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{K})$ denote the image of $\mathrm{O}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{K})$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{K})$. According to $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, we have the *nondegenerate* flat

geometry of signature (p, q) .

$$\begin{cases} (\text{PO}(p+1, q+1), S^{p,q}) & \text{Conformally flat geometry} \\ (\text{PU}(p+1, q+1), S^{2p+1, 2q}) & \text{Spherical } CR \text{ geometry} \\ (\text{PSp}(p+1, q+1), S^{4p+3, 4q}) & \text{Flat pseudo-conformal } qCR \text{ geometry} \end{cases}$$

(Compare [13].) In particular, when $p = n, q = 0$, i.e. positive definite case, we have the usual horospherical geometry, i.e. the geometry on the boundary of the real, complex or quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. When $p = n - 1, q = 1$, $(\text{PO}(n, 2), S^{n-1, 1})$ is said to be the *n-dimensional conformally flat Lorentz geometry*.

2.2. Causality. Let ξ be a vector field on a Lorentz manifold (M, g) . We recall *causality of vector fields* (cf. [26]).

Definition 2.2.1 (Causal vector fields). *Let $x \in M$.*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \xi \text{ is spacelike} & g(\xi_x, \xi_x) > 0 & \text{whenever } \xi_x \neq 0. \\ \xi \text{ is lightlike} & g(\xi_x, \xi_x) = 0 & \text{whenever } \xi_x \neq 0. \\ \xi \text{ is timelike} & g(\xi_x, \xi_x) < 0 & \text{whenever } \xi_x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Each vector ξ_x is called a *causal vector*. Suppose that ξ is a vector field defined on a domain Ω of M . If $\xi_x \neq 0$ and ξ_x is a causal vector at each point $x \in \Omega$, then ξ is said to be a causal vector field on Ω .

3. CONFORMALLY LORENTZ PARABOLIC GEOMETRY

3.1. Conformally Lorentz parabolic structure. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ with respect to the Lorentz inner product $\mathcal{B}$; $\mathcal{B}(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m+1$), $\mathcal{B}(e_{m+2}, e_{m+2}) = -1$. (See (2.1.1) for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$.) Set

$$\ell_1 = e_1 + e_{m+2}/\sqrt{2}, \quad \ell_{m+2} = e_1 - e_{m+2}/\sqrt{2}.$$

Putting $\mathcal{B} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$, $\mathbf{F} = \{\ell_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m+1}, \ell_{m+2}\}$ is a new basis such that $\langle \ell_1, \ell_1 \rangle = \langle \ell_{m+2}, \ell_{m+2} \rangle = 0$, $\langle \ell_1, \ell_{m+2} \rangle = 1$. The symmetric matrix $\mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1$ with respect to this basis $\mathbf{F}$ is described as

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & & & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \mathbf{I}_m & & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & & & & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that

$$\text{O}(m+1, 1) = \{A \in \text{GL}(m+2, \mathbb{R}) \mid A \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1 {}^t A = \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1\}.$$

The similarity subgroup is described in $\text{O}(m+1, 1)$ as follows:

$$(3.1.2) \quad \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & x & -\frac{|x|^2}{2\lambda} \\ & B & -\frac{B^t x}{\lambda} \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad B \in \text{O}(m) \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{array} \right\}.$$

We introduce the following subgroup in $\text{GL}(m+2, \mathbb{R})$:

$$(3.1.3) \quad G_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Let $P = \sqrt{u} \cdot Q \in G_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($Q \in \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\sqrt{u} \in \mathbb{R}^+$). For the Lorentz inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1 {}^t y,$$

($x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+2}), y = (y_1, \dots, y_{m+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$) as above,

$$\langle xP, yP \rangle = u \cdot xQ \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1 {}^t Q^t y = u \cdot x \mathbf{l}_{m+1}^1 {}^t y = u \cdot \langle x, y \rangle,$$

i.e. a $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -structure defines a conformal class of Lorentz metrics on an $(m+2)$ -manifold.

Let $G_{\mathbb{C}} \leq \text{GL}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ be a subgroup defined by

$$(3.1.4) \quad G_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & \sqrt{u}x & -\frac{|x|^2}{2} \\ & \sqrt{u}B & -\frac{B^t x}{2} \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in \mathbb{C}^n, B \in \text{U}(n) \right\}.$$

If P is an element of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ as above, then

$$(3.1.5) \quad P = \sqrt{u} \cdot Q, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{u} & x & -\frac{|x|^2}{2\sqrt{u}} \\ & B & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} B^t x \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that Q is an element of $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \leq \text{O}(2n+1, 1)$. So $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $m = 2n$. A homomorphism $P \mapsto Q$ gives rise to an isomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ onto $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \rtimes (\text{U}(n) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+)$ of $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Definition 3.1.1.

- A $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -structure on an $(m+2)$ -manifold is called *conformally Lorentz parabolic structure*. An $(m+2)$ -manifold is said to be a *conformally Lorentz parabolic manifold* if it admits a $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -structure.

- A $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure on a $(2n + 2)$ -manifold is Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure. In other words, the Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure is a reduction of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. A Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold is a $(2n + 2)$ -dimensional manifold equipped with a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure.

Definition 3.1.2. Let M be a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold. Then $\text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$ is the group of conformal transformations preserving the Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure.

3.2. Lorentz similarity geometry $(\mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}), \mathbb{R}^{m+2})$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ be the $(m + 2)$ -dimensional euclidean space equipped with a Lorentz inner product (cf. Section 3.1). Form the Lorentz similarity subgroup $\mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}) = \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes (\text{O}(m + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ from the affine group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}) = \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes \text{GL}(m + 2, \mathbb{R})$. If an $(m + 2)$ -manifold M is locally modelled on $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ with coordinate changes lying in $\mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})$, then M is said to be a *Lorentz similarity manifold*.

Proposition 3.2.1. Let M be an $(m + 2)$ -dimensional compact Lorentz similarity manifold with virtually solvable fundamental group. Then M is either a Lorentz flat parabolic manifold or finitely covered by a Hopf manifold $S^{m+1} \times S^1$, or an $m + 2$ -torus T^{m+2} .

Proof. Given a compact Lorentz similarity manifold M , there exists a developing pair $(\rho, \text{dev}) : (\pi_1(M), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}), \mathbb{R}^{m+2})$. Suppose that $\pi_1(M)$ is virtually solvable. Let $L : \mathcal{L}\text{sim}(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}) \rightarrow \text{O}(m + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ be the linear holonomy homomorphism. Then a subgroup of finite index in $L(\rho(\pi_1(M)))$ is solvable in $\text{O}(m + 1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ so it belongs to a maximal amenable subgroup which is either isomorphic to $(\text{O}(m + 1) \times \text{O}(1)) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ or to $(\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ up to conjugate. By Definition 3.1.1, the latter case implies that M (or two fold-cover) is a Lorentz flat parabolic manifold. If a subgroup of finite index in $L(\rho(\pi_1(M)))$ lies in $(\text{O}(m + 1) \times \text{O}(1)) \times \mathbb{R}^+$, then M is a similarity manifold where $\text{O}(m + 1) \times \text{O}(1) \leq \text{O}(m + 2)$. It follows from the result by Fried that M is covered finitely by an $m + 2$ -torus T^{m+2} or a Hopf manifold $S^{m+1} \times S^1$. □

The Lorentz similarity geometry contains *Lorentz flat geometry* $(\text{E}(m + 1, 1), \mathbb{R}^{m+2})$ where $\text{E}(m + 1, 1) = \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes \text{O}(m + 1, 1)$. If M is an $m + 2$ -dimensional compact Lorentz flat manifold, then it is known that M is geodesically complete and the fundamental group of a compact complete Lorentz flat manifold is virtually solvable. Applying the above proposition, we have

Corollary 3.2.1. Any $m + 2$ -dimensional compact Lorentz flat manifold is finitely covered by an $m + 2$ -torus or an infrasolvmanifold.

Proof. The holonomy homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes \mathrm{O}(m+1, 1)$ reduces to a homomorphism: $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes \mathrm{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Put $\Gamma = \rho(\pi_1(M))$ which is a virtually solvable discrete subgroup. As $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathbb{R}^m \rtimes (\mathrm{O}(m) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, a subgroup Γ' of finite index in Γ is conjugate to a discrete subgroup of a solvable Lie group $G = \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \rtimes (\mathbb{R}^m \rtimes (T^m \times \mathbb{R}^+))$. Let $M' = \rho^{-1}(\Gamma') \backslash \tilde{M}$ be a finite covering of M . As $\mathrm{dev} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ is a diffeomorphism, it follows that $M' \cong \Gamma' \backslash \mathbb{R}^{m+2} = \Gamma' \backslash G/H$ where $H = \mathbb{R}^m \rtimes (T^m \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. $\square$

3.3. Fefferman-Lorentz manifold. In [8] Fefferman has shown that when N is a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional *strictly pseudoconvex* CR -manifold, $S^1 \times N$ admits a Lorentz metric g on which S^1 acts as lightlike isometries. We recall the construction of the metric from [21]. Let $(\mathrm{Ker} \omega, J)$ be a CR -structure on N with characteristic (Reeb) vector field ξ for some contact form ω . The circle S^1 generates the vector field $\mathcal{S}$ on $S^1 \times N$ (extending trivially on N). Note that

$$(3.3.1) \quad T(S^1 \times N) = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \oplus \langle \xi \rangle \oplus \mathrm{Ker} \omega.$$

Let $(\mathrm{Ker} \omega) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\} \oplus \{\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_n\}$ be the canonical decomposition for J for which we choose such as $d\omega(Y_i, \bar{Y}_j) = -i\delta_{ij}$. As usual, letting $X_i = Y_i + \bar{Y}_i/\sqrt{2}$, $X_{n+i} = i(Y_i - \bar{Y}_i)/\sqrt{2}$, it implies that $(\mathrm{Ker} \omega) = \{X_1, \dots, X_{2n}\}$ such that $JX_i = X_{n+i}$ and

$$(3.3.2) \quad d\omega(JX_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

This gives a (real) frame $\{\mathcal{S}, \xi, X_1, \dots, X_{2n}\}$ at a neighborhood of $S^1 \times N$. Let θ^i be the dual frame to X_i ($i = 1, \dots, 2n$). From (3.3.2), note that

$$(3.3.3) \quad d\omega(J-, -) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \theta^i \cdot \theta^i \quad \text{on } \mathrm{Ker} \omega.$$

Let dt be a 1-form on $S^1 \times N$ such that

$$(3.3.4) \quad dt(\mathcal{S}) = 1, \quad dt(V) = 0 \quad (\forall V \in TN).$$

Put $\eta = \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n$ where $\omega^\alpha = \theta^\alpha + i\theta^{n+\alpha}$. By Proposition (3.4) of [21] there exists a unique real 1-form σ on $S^1 \times N$ satisfying that

$$(3.3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\omega \wedge \eta) &= i(n+2)\sigma \wedge \omega \wedge \eta, \\ \sigma \wedge d\eta \wedge \bar{\eta} &= \mathrm{Tr}(d\sigma) i\sigma \wedge \omega \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

The explicit form of σ is obtained from [21, (5.1) Theorem] that

$$(3.3.6) \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{n+2} \left(dt + iP^* \omega_\alpha^\alpha - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \rho \cdot P^* \omega \right).$$

Here $P : S^1 \times N \rightarrow N$ is the canonical projection and ω_α^β is a connection form of ω such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \mathbf{i}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\omega^\alpha \wedge \omega^{\bar{\beta}}, \\ d\omega^\alpha &= \omega^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha + \omega \wedge \tau^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The function ρ is the Webster scalar curvature on N . (Since we chose $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, note that $-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dh_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0$ in the equation (5.3) of [21, (5.1) Theorem].) It follows that

$$(3.3.7) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2}.$$

Define a symmetric 2-form

$$\sigma \odot \omega = \sigma \cdot \omega + \omega \cdot \sigma.$$

Extending $\theta^i(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ and $\omega(\mathcal{S}) = 0$, we have a Fefferman - Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times N$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3.8) \quad g(X, Y) &= \sigma(X) \cdot \omega(Y) + \omega(X) \cdot \sigma(Y) + d\omega(JX^h, Y^h) \\ &= \sigma \odot \omega(X, Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \theta^i \cdot \theta^i(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Here X^h stands for the horizontal part of X , i.e. $X^h \in \text{Ker } \omega$. By (3.3.7) we have that

$$(3.3.9) \quad g(\xi, \mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2}.$$

Since $g(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$, g becomes a Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times N$. In particular S^1 acts as lightlike isometries of g .

The following result has been achieved by Lee [21]. We shall give an elementary proof of *invariance* from the viewpoint of G -structure.

Theorem 3.3.1. *A strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure on N gives a conformal class of Lorentz metrics on $S^1 \times N$. Indeed $S^1 \times N$ admits a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure (G_C -structure).*

Proof. Suppose that $(\text{Ker } \omega', J)$ represents the same CR-structure on N . Then it follows that $\omega' = u \cdot \omega$ for some positive function u on N . Let $\{\mathcal{S}', \xi', X'_1, \dots, X'_{2n}\}$ be another frame on the neighborhood of $S^1 \times N$ for ω' . Since $\mathcal{S}'$ generates the same S^1 , note that

$$(3.3.10) \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'.$$

There exist $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 2n$) for which the characteristic vector field ξ' is described as

$$(3.3.11) \quad \xi = u \cdot \xi' + x_1 \sqrt{u} X'_1 + \dots + x_{2n} \sqrt{u} X'_{2n}.$$

As $u \cdot d\omega = d\omega'$ and $d\omega(J-, J-) = d\omega(-, -)$ on $\text{Ker } \omega$, there exists a $B = (b_i^j) \in \text{U}(n)$ such that

$$(3.3.12) \quad X_i = \sqrt{u} \sum_k b_i^k X'_k.$$

Two frames $\{\mathcal{S}, \xi, X_1, \dots, X_{2n}\}$, $\{\mathcal{S}', \xi', X'_1, \dots, X'_{2n}\}$ are uniquely determined each other by the equations (3.3.10), (3.3.11), (3.3.12).

It suffices to prove that another Lorentz metric g' is conformal to g :

$$g' = \sigma' \odot \omega' + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \theta'^i \cdot \theta'^i.$$

The equations (3.3.10), (3.3.11), (3.3.12) determine the relation between the dual frames $\{\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma\}$, $\{\omega', \theta'^1, \dots, \theta'^{2n}, \sigma'\}$.

$$(3.3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega' &= u \cdot \omega, \\ \theta'^i &= \sqrt{u} \sum_j b_j^i \theta^j + \sqrt{u} x_i \cdot \omega, \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the uniqueness property of σ from (3.3.5), σ' is transformed into the following form (cf. [21, (5.16) Proposition]):

$$(3.3.14) \quad \sigma' = \sigma - \sum_{i,j} b_j^i x_i \theta^j - \frac{|x|^2}{2} \omega.$$

Using (3.1.5), the above equations show that

$$(3.3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\omega', \theta'^1, \dots, \theta'^{2n}, \sigma') &= (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) \begin{pmatrix} u & \sqrt{u}x & -\frac{|x|^2}{2} \\ 0 & \sqrt{u}B & -B^t x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) \sqrt{u} \cdot Q. \end{aligned}$$

As $B \in \text{U}(n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ because the basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_{2n}\}$ invariant under J , note that $\sqrt{u} \cdot Q \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (i.e. $Q \in \text{Sim}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$). Hence the CR -structure defines a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure on M (cf. Definition 3.1.1). Moreover, a calculation shows

$$(3.3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} g' &= \sigma' \odot \omega' + d\omega'(J-, -) = \sigma' \cdot \omega' + \omega' \cdot \sigma' + \sum \theta'^i \cdot \theta'^i \\ &= (\omega', \theta'^1, \dots, \theta'^{2n}, \sigma') \mathbf{l}_{2n+1}^1{}^t (\omega', \theta'^1, \dots, \theta'^{2n}, \sigma') \\ &= (\sqrt{u})^2 (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) Q \mathbf{l}_{2n+1}^1{}^t Q^t (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) \\ &= u \cdot (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) \mathbf{l}_{2n+1}^1{}^t (\omega, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma) \\ &= u(\sigma \odot \omega + \sum \theta^i \cdot \theta^i) = u \cdot g, \end{aligned}$$

Hence the CR -structure determines a conformal class of Fefferman-Lorentz metric g . $\square$

4. CONFORMALLY FLAT FEFFERMAN-LORENTZ MANIFOLD

4.1. Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz model. Let

$$V_0 = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+4}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+4} - \{0\} \mid \mathcal{B}(x, x) = 0\}$$

be as in (2.1.2) for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. In this case, when the Hermitian bilinear form is defined by

$$(4.1.1) \quad \langle z, w \rangle = \bar{z}_1 w_1 + \dots + \bar{z}_{n+1} w_{n+1} - \bar{z}_{n+2} w_{n+2} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^{n+2},$$

V_0 is identified with

$$\{z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} - \{0\} \mid \langle z, z \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $U(n+1, 1)$ be the unitary Lorentz group with the center S^1 . Obviously the two-fold cover of $S^{2n+1,1}$ is contained in V_0 , i.e. $S^1 \times S^{2n+1} \subset V_0$ but not invariant under $U(n+1, 1)$. Consider the commutative diagram.

$$(4.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{R}^*) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{R}^*) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ (S^1, \mathbb{C}^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{n+2} - \{0\} & \xrightarrow{P_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \\ \parallel & & \cup & & \cup \\ (S^1, \mathbb{C}^*) & \longrightarrow & S^1 \times S^{2n+1} \subset V_0 & \xrightarrow{P_{\mathbb{C}}} & S^{2n+1} = P_{\mathbb{C}}(V_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow P_{\mathbb{R}} & & \parallel \\ S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 = S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1,1} = P_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0) & \xrightarrow{P} & S^{2n+1} = P(S^{2n+1,1}) \\ \parallel & & \cap & & \cap \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{RP}^{2n+3} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}. \end{array}$$

Put

$$\hat{U}(n+1, 1) = U(n+1, 1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a cyclic group of order two in S^1 . The natural embedding $U(n+1, 1) \rightarrow O(2n+2, 2)$ induces an embedding of Lie groups:

$$\hat{U}(n+1, 1) \rightarrow PO(2n+2, 2).$$

Let

$$(4.1.3) \quad \omega_0 = -\mathbf{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \bar{z}_j dz_j, \quad \xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left(x_j \frac{d}{dy_j} - y_j \frac{d}{dx_j} \right)$$

be the standard contact form on S^{2n+1} with the characteristic vector field ξ . Note that $\omega_0(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |z_j|^2 = 1$ on S^{2n+1} . As in Section 4.2, ω_0 is the connection form on the principal bundle $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{CP}^n$. The spherical CR -structure $(\text{Ker } \omega_0, J_0)$ defines a Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$:

$$(4.1.4) \quad g^0(X, Y) = \sigma_0 \odot P_{\mathbb{C}}^* \omega_0(X, Y) + d\omega_0(J_0 P_{\mathbb{C}*} X, P_{\mathbb{C}*} Y)$$

where σ_0 is obtained from (3.3.6) (cf. (4.2.2)).

Proposition 4.1.1. *The group $U(n+1, 1)$ acts conformally on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ with respect to g^0 . Especially, so does $\hat{U}(n+1, 1)$ on $(S^{2n+1, 1}, \hat{g}^0)$.*

Proof. Let $U(n+1, 1) = (U(n+1) \times U(1)) \cdot (\mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ be the Iwasawa decomposition in which there is the equivariant projection:

$$(4.1.5) \quad (U(n+1, 1), V_0) \xrightarrow{(P, P_{\mathbb{C}})} (PU(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}).$$

If $t_\theta = e^{i\theta} \in ZU(n+1, 1)$ which is the center S^1 of $U(n+1, 1)$, then by the form (3.3.6) it follows that

$$(4.1.6) \quad t_\theta^* dt = dt, \quad t_\theta^* \sigma_0 = \sigma_0.$$

Let $U(n+1)$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $PU(n+1, 1)$. If $\gamma = P(\tilde{\gamma}) \in U(n+1)$, then $\gamma^* \omega_0 = \omega_0$ from (4.1.3). Then $\tilde{\gamma}^* g^0 = g^0$, i.e. $\tilde{\gamma}$ acts as an isometry of $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$.

Suppose that $\gamma = P(\tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is the Heisenberg Lie group such that $\mathcal{N} \cup \{\infty\} = S^{2n+1}$. Recall from Section 2 of [14] that if $(t, (z_1, \dots, z_n))$ is the coordinate of $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n$, then the contact form $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ is described as:

$$(4.1.7) \quad \omega_{\mathcal{N}} = dt + \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j) = dt + \text{Im} \langle z, dz \rangle.$$

(Here $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i w_i$ and $\text{Im } x$ is the imaginary part of x .) An element $g = ((a, z), \lambda \cdot A) \in \mathcal{N} \rtimes (U(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ acts on $(t, w) \in \mathcal{N}$ as

$$(4.1.8) \quad g \cdot (t, w) = (a + \lambda^2 \cdot t - \text{Im} \langle z, \lambda \cdot A \cdot w \rangle, z + \lambda \cdot A \cdot w).$$

In particular, when $\gamma = (a, z) \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\gamma^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} = \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$, while $\mathbb{R}^+ = \langle \gamma_\theta \rangle$ satisfies that $\gamma_\theta(t, z) = (e^{2\theta} \cdot t, e^\theta \cdot z)$ on $\mathcal{N}$ so

$$\gamma_\theta^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} = d(e^{2\theta} \cdot t) + \text{Im} \langle e^\theta \cdot z, d(e^\theta \cdot z) \rangle = e^{2\theta} \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

As (ω_0, J) and $(\omega_{\mathcal{N}}, J)$ define the same spherical CR -structure on $\mathcal{N}$, there exists a smooth function u on $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\omega_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot \omega_0$. Let

$$g_{\mathcal{N}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \odot P^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} + d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(J_0 P_* -, P_* -)$$

be the Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$ where $P : S^1 \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the projection. Then it follows from Theorem 3.3.1 that

$$(4.1.9) \quad g_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot g^0.$$

As above, it is easy to check that if $P(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\gamma^* g_{\mathcal{N}} = g_{\mathcal{N}}$ and if $P(\gamma_{\theta}) = \gamma_{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\gamma_{\theta}^* g_{\mathcal{N}} = e^{2\theta} \cdot g_{\mathcal{N}}$. (Note that the equation $\gamma_{\theta}^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} = e^{2\theta} \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ implies that $\gamma_{\theta}^* d\omega_{\mathcal{N}} = e^{2\theta} \cdot d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ because $e^{2\theta}$ is constant.) As a consequence, if $\gamma = P(\tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists a positive constant τ such that $\tilde{\gamma}^* g_{\mathcal{N}} = \tau \cdot g_{\mathcal{N}}$. Letting a positive function $v = \gamma^* u^{-1} \cdot \tau \cdot u$ on $\mathcal{N}$, it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\gamma}^* g^0 = v \cdot g^0 \text{ on } S^1 \times \mathcal{N}.$$

Since this is true on a neighborhood at any point in $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$, $\tilde{\gamma}$ acts conformally on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ with respect to g^0 . For either $\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{U}(n+1) \times \text{U}(1)$ or $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, the above observation shows that every element of $\text{U}(n+1, 1)$ acts as conformal transformation on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ with respect to the Lorentz metric g^0 . $\square$

Note from (4.1.9) that $g_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot g^0$. The Weyl conformal curvature tensor satisfies that $W(g^0) = W(g_{\mathcal{N}})$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$. In order to prove that the Fefferman-Lorentz metric g^0 is a conformally flat metric, we calculate the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$ directly.

In view of the contact form $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ (cf. (4.1.7)), $d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(J_0 -, -)$ is

the euclidean metric $\hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n |dz_j|^2$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$. As $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the connection

form of the principal bundle: $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}^n$, it follows from (3.3.6) that

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2} \left(dt + iP^* \pi^* \varphi_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \pi^* \rho \cdot P^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} \right).$$

Since $(\mathbb{C}^n, \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is flat, it follows that $\varphi_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = 0$, $\rho = \pi^* s = 0$, which shows

$$(4.1.10) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2} dt.$$

The metric $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ reduces to the following:

$$g_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2} dt \odot P^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} + P^* \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

It is easy to check that the following are equivalent:

- (i) $X \in \mathfrak{C}^\perp$ where $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \xi \rangle$ induced by $S^1 \times \mathcal{R}$.
- (ii) $g_{\mathcal{N}}(X, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ and $g_{\mathcal{N}}(X, \xi) = 0$.
- (iii) $X \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$, $dt(X) = 0$.

As a consequence, $\mathfrak{C}^\perp = \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$. Putting $\mathcal{C} = S^1 \times \mathcal{R}$, there is a pseudo-Riemannian submersion:

$$(4.1.11) \quad \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (S^1 \times \mathcal{N}, g_{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathbb{C}^n, \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ on the flat space $\mathbb{C}^n$ is zero,

$$\hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{R}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)\pi_* Z, \pi_* W) = 0.$$

If $X, Y \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $[X, Y]^\nu \in \langle \xi \rangle$ where ξ is the characteristic vector field for $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$. (Here X^ν stands for the fiber component of the vector X .) Since $dt(\xi) = (n+2)\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi) = 0$ from (4.1.10),

$$g_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \text{ i.e. } \xi \text{ is lightlike.}$$

We apply the O'Neill's formula (cf. [5, (3.30)] for example) to the pseudo-Riemannian submersion of (4.1.11):

$$(4.1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{N}}(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{R}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)\pi_* Z, \pi_* W) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}g_{\mathcal{N}}([X, Z]^\nu, [Y, W]^\nu) - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mathcal{N}}([Y, Z]^\nu, [X, W]^\nu) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}g_{\mathcal{N}}([Z, W]^\nu, [X, Y]^\nu). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

Lemma 4.1.1.

$$R_{XYZW} = g_{\mathcal{N}}(R(X, Y)Z, W) = 0 \quad (\forall X, Y, Z, W \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}).$$

Lemma 4.1.2.

$$R_{\xi ABC} = 0 \quad (\forall A, B, C \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})).$$

Proof. Put $\omega = \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$, $g = g_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\text{Ker } \omega = P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let ∇ be a covariant derivative for g on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$;

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(X, Z) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &+ g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) + g([Z, Y], X). \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{Ker } \omega$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -invariant, we note the following.

$$(4.1.13) \quad [X, \xi] = [X, \mathcal{S}] = 0 \quad (\forall X \in \text{Ker } \omega).$$

Put $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2}dt$. By (iii),

$$(4.1.14) \quad \sigma([X, Y]) = 0 \quad (\forall X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega).$$

We may choose X, Y, Z to be orthonormal vector fields in $\text{Ker } \omega$. Then

$$2g(\nabla_X \xi, Z) = g([Z, X], \xi) = \sigma([Z, X]) = 0 \quad (\forall X, Z \in \text{Ker } \omega).$$

Similarly from (4.1.13),

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X \xi, \mathcal{S}) &= Xg(\xi, \mathcal{S}) = X\left(\frac{1}{n+2}\right) = 0, \\ 2g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(4.1.15) \quad \nabla_X \xi = 0.$$

It follows similarly that

$$(4.1.16) \quad 2g(\nabla_S \xi, Z) = 0, \quad 2g(\nabla_S \xi, \mathcal{S}) = 0, \quad 2g(\nabla_S \xi, \xi) = 0.$$

This shows that

$$(4.1.17) \quad \nabla_S \xi = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\nabla_S \mathcal{S} = \nabla_\xi \xi = 0$, i.e. the orbits of S^1 and $\mathbb{R}$ are geodesics. From these, we obtain that

$$(4.1.18) \quad \nabla_\xi A = 0 \quad (\forall A \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})).$$

This implies that $R_{\xi ABC} = 0$ ($\forall A, B, C \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})$).

□

We set formally

$$(4.1.19) \quad J\mathcal{S} = 0, \quad J\xi = 0$$

so that J is defined on $T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N}) = \{\mathcal{S}, \xi\} \oplus \text{Ker } \omega$.

Lemma 4.1.3.

$$\nabla_S A = -\frac{1}{n+2}JA. \quad (\forall A \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})).$$

Proof. For the vector field $\mathcal{S}$, we see that

$$(4.1.20) \quad 2g(\nabla_X \mathcal{S}, \xi) = 0, \quad 2g(\nabla_X \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0.$$

As we assumed that $g(X, Z) = 0$ and $g(X, X) = g(Z, Z) = 1$, calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X \mathcal{S}, Z) &= \omega([Z, X]) \cdot \sigma(S) = \frac{1}{n+2} \cdot \omega([Z, X]) \\ &= -\frac{2}{n+2} \cdot d\omega(Z, X) = -\frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(Z, \hat{J}X) \\ &= -\frac{2}{n+2} \cdot g(JX, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1.20), we obtain that

$$(4.1.21) \quad \nabla_X \mathcal{S} = -\frac{1}{n+2}JX.$$

As $[\mathcal{S}, X] = 0$, note that

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}X = -\frac{1}{n+2}JX.$$

Since $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}\xi = \nabla_{\mathcal{S}}S = 0$ from (4.1.17), we have that $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}A = -\frac{1}{n+2}JA$ ($\forall A \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})$).

□

Lemma 4.1.4. *The remaining curvature tensor R_{ABCD} on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$ becomes as follows.*

- (1) $R_{\mathcal{S}XSY} = -\frac{1}{(n+2)^2} \cdot g_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y) \quad (\forall X, Y \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}).$
- (2) $R_{\mathcal{S}XYZ} = 0 \quad (\forall X, Y, Z \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega_{\mathcal{N}}).$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, \mathcal{S})\mathcal{S} &= \nabla_X \nabla_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}) - \nabla_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla_X(\mathcal{S}) - \nabla_{[\mathcal{S}, X]}\mathcal{S} \\ &= -\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}\left(-\frac{1}{n+2}JX\right) = \frac{1}{(n+2)^2}X. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{S}XSY} &= -R_{XSSY} \\ &= -g(R(X, \mathcal{S})\mathcal{S}, Y) = -\frac{1}{(n+2)^2}g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

As X, Y are orthonormal and $\sigma([X, Y]) = 0$ by (4.1.14), it follows that

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, \xi) = g([X, Y], \xi) = 0,$$

so there exists a function $a(X, Y)$ such that

$$\nabla_X Y \equiv a(X, Y)\xi \pmod{\text{Ker } \omega}.$$

In particular it follows that

$$(4.1.22) \quad \nabla_X JY - J\nabla_X Y \equiv a(X, JY)\xi \pmod{\text{Ker } \omega}.$$

Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be a covariant derivative for the Kähler metric $\hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ as before. Recall from [5, (3.23) p.67] that

$$(4.1.23) \quad g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\nabla}_{\pi_* X} \pi_*(Y), \pi_* Z) \quad (\forall X, Y, Z \in \text{Ker } \omega).$$

If we note that the complex structure $\hat{J}$ is parallel with respect to $\hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. $\hat{\nabla}\hat{J} = \hat{J}\hat{\nabla}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X JY, Z) &= \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\nabla}_{\pi_*X} \pi_*(JY), \pi_*Z) \\ &= \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\nabla}_{\pi_*X} \hat{J}\pi_*(Y), \pi_*Z) \\ &= \hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{J}\hat{\nabla}_{\pi_*X} \pi_*(Y), \pi_*Z) \\ &= -\hat{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\nabla}_{\pi_*X} \pi_*(Y), \pi_*JZ) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X Y, JZ) = g(J\nabla_X Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

(4.1.22) implies that

$$(4.1.24) \quad \nabla_X JY - J\nabla_X Y = a(X, JY)\xi.$$

As $[X, \mathcal{S}] = 0$, Lemma 4.1.3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, \mathcal{S})Y &= \nabla_X \nabla_{\mathcal{S}} Y - \nabla_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla_X Y \\ &= -\frac{1}{n+2}(\nabla_X JY - J\nabla_X Y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n+2} a(X, JY)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) of Lemma 4.1.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, \mathcal{S})Y, \mathcal{S}) &= -\frac{1}{(n+2)^2} a(X, JY) \\ &= R_{XSY\mathcal{S}} = -\frac{1}{(n+2)^2} g(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a(X, JY) = g(X, Y)$ so that we obtain

$$(4.1.25) \quad R(X, \mathcal{S})Y = -\frac{1}{n+2} g(X, Y)\xi.$$

It follows that

$$R_{SXYZ} = -R_{XSYZ} = -g(R(X, \mathcal{S})Y, Z) = 0.$$

□

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let $(S^1 \times \mathcal{N}, g_{\mathcal{N}})$ be a Fefferman-Lorentz nilmanifold of dimension $2n+2$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The scalar curvature function $S = 0$.*
- (2) *The Ricci tensor has the following form.*
 - (i) $R_{YZ} = 0$ ($\forall Y, Z \in \text{Ker } \omega$).
 - (ii) $R_{\xi A} = 0$ ($\forall A \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})$).
 - (iii) $R_{SY} = 0$ ($\forall Y \in \text{Ker } \omega$).
 - (iv) $R_{SS} = -2n/(n+2)^2$.

Proof. Note that $g(\xi, \xi) = g(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S &= R_{ABCD}g^{AC}g^{BD} \\ &= R_{XYZW}g^{XZ}g^{YW} + R_{\xi BCD}g^{\xi C}g^{BD} + R_{SBCD}g^{SC}g^{BD} \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in \text{Ker } \omega$ and $B, C, D \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})$. The first term is zero; $R_{XYZW} = 0$ by Lemma 4.1.1. The second term $R_{\xi BCD} = 0$ by Lemma 4.1.2. According to whether $g^{SC} = 0$ or $g^{S\xi} = n + 2$, the third term becomes $R_{SBCD}g^{SC}g^{BD} = R_{SB\xi D}g^{S\xi}g^{BD} = 0$ because $R_{SB\xi D} = 0$ by Lemma 4.1.2 again. Hence the scalar curvature $S = 0$.

The Ricci tensor satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{SY} &= R_{ASBY}g^{AB} \\ &= R_{XSZY}g^{XZ} + R_{\xi SBY}g^{\xi B} + R_{AS\xi Y}g^{A\xi} + R_{ASSY}g^{AS}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $R_{XSZY} = -R_{SXZY} = 0$ by (2) of Lemma 4.1.4. $R_{\xi SBY} = 0$, $R_{AS\xi Y} = R_{\xi YAS} = 0$ by Lemma 4.1.2. When $g^{S\xi} = n + 2$, $R_{\xi SSY} = 0$ as above. According to whether $g^{AS} = 0$ or $g^{\xi S} = n + 2$, the third term $R_{ASSY}g^{AS} = 0$. So $R_{SY} = 0$, (iii) follows. Similarly (i), (ii) follow by calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{YZ} &= R_{AYBZ}g^{AB} = R_{\xi YBZ}g^{\xi B} + R_{SYBZ}g^{SB} \\ &= R_{SY\xi Z}g^{S\xi} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{\xi A} = R_{B\xi CA}g^{BC} = 0 \quad (\forall A \in T(S^1 \times \mathcal{N})).$$

As we chose $g(X, X) = 1$, (1) of Lemma 4.1.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{SS} &= R_{ASBS}g^{AB} \\ &= R_{XSXS}g^{XX} + R_{XS\xi S}g^{X\xi} + R_{\xi SBS}g^{\xi B} + R_{SSBS}g^{SB} \\ &= 2n \cdot R_{XSXS} = -2n \cdot \frac{1}{(n+2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (iv). □

Let W_{ABCD} be the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$ of dimension $2n + 2$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ABCD} &= R_{ABCD} + \frac{1}{2n}(R_{BC}g_{AD} - R_{BD}g_{AC} - R_{AC}g_{BD} + R_{AD}g_{BC}) \\ &\quad + \frac{S}{2n(2n+1)}(g_{BD}g_{AC} - g_{BC}g_{AD}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1.3. *The Fefferman-Lorentz manifold $(S^1 \times \mathcal{N}, g_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a conformally flat Lorentz nilmanifold.*

Proof. We shall prove that all the Weyl conformal curvature tensors vanish. By (1) of Proposition 4.1.2, this reduces to

$$W_{ABCD} = R_{ABCD} + \frac{1}{2n} (R_{BC}g_{AD} - R_{BD}g_{AC} - R_{AC}g_{BD} + R_{AD}g_{BC}).$$

It follows from (i) of Proposition 4.1.2 and Lemma 4.1.1,

$$(4.1.26) \quad W_{XYZW} = 0 \quad (\forall X, Y, Z, W \in \text{Ker } \omega).$$

It follows from (i), (ii), (iii) of Proposition 4.1.2 and Lemma 4.1.2,

$$(4.1.27) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\xi YZW} &= 0 \quad (\forall Y, Z, W \in \text{Ker } \omega), \\ W_{\xi Y\xi W} &= 0, \quad W_{\xi Y\xi S} = 0, \quad W_{\xi YSW} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by (ii), (iii) of Proposition 4.1.2,

$$(4.1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\xi SZW} &= 0, \\ W_{\xi S\xi W} &= -W_{\xi W\xi S} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$W_{\xi S\xi S} = \frac{1}{2n} (R_{S\xi}g_{\xi S} - R_{SS}g_{\xi\xi} - R_{\xi\xi}g_{SS} + R_{\xi S}g_{S\xi}).$$

Since $R_{SS} \neq 0$ but $g_{\xi\xi} = 0$, it follows that

$$(4.1.29) \quad W_{\xi S\xi S} = 0.$$

As $W_{\xi SSW} = \frac{1}{2n} (R_{SS}g_{\xi W} - R_{SW}g_{\xi S} - R_{\xi S}g_{SW} + R_{\xi W}g_{SS})$ but $g_{\xi W} = 0$, it follows that

$$(4.1.30) \quad W_{\xi SSW} = 0, \quad W_{\xi SS\xi} = 0.$$

From (4.1.27), (4.1.28), (4.1.29), (4.1.30), the Weyl tensors containing ξ are zero. It follows similarly

$$(4.1.31) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{S\xi ZW} &= -W_{\xi SZW} = 0, \\ W_{S\xi\xi W} &= -W_{\xi S\xi W} = 0, \\ W_{S\xi SW} &= -W_{\xi SSW} = 0, \\ W_{S\xi\xi S} &= 0, \quad W_{S\xi S\xi} = 0, \\ W_{SY\xi W} &= W_{\xi WSY} = 0, \\ W_{SY\xi S} &= W_{\xi SSY} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) of Lemma 4.1.4 and (iv) of Proposition 4.1.2, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1.32) \quad W_{SYSW} &= R_{SYSW} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2n} (R_{YSg_{SW}} - R_{YWg_{SS}} - R_{SSg_{YW}} + R_{SWg_{YS}}) \\
&= R_{SYSW} - \frac{1}{2n} R_{SSg_{YW}} \\
&= \frac{-1}{(n+2)^2} g(Y, W) - \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{-2n}{(n+2)^2} g(Y, W) \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So all the terms containing $\mathcal{S}$ are zero. We thus conclude that the Weyl conformal curvature tensors of $(S^1 \times \mathcal{N}, g_{\mathcal{N}})$ vanish. $\square$

Proposition 4.1.4. *The Fefferman-Lorentz metric g^0 is a conformally flat Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$.*

Proof. If we note that $g_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot g^0$ as before, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor satisfies that $W(g^0) = W(g_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$. Since $U(n+1, 1)$ acts conformally and transitively on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$, $W(g^0) = 0$ on $S^{2n+1, 1}$. $\square$

4.2. Examples of Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds I. We shall give examples of conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds which admit causal Killing fields. Consider principal S^1 -bundles as a connection bundle over a Kähler manifold W .

$$S^1 \rightarrow N^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} W.$$

There exists a 1-form ω such that $d\omega = \pi^*\Omega$ for which $\Omega = \mathbf{i}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\omega^\alpha \wedge \omega^{\bar{\beta}}$ is the Kähler form on W . As $\text{Ker } \omega$ is isomorphic to TW at each point of W . Let J be a complex structure on $\text{Ker } \omega$ obtained from that of W by the pullback of π_* . Then $(\text{Ker } \omega, J)$ is a strictly pseudoconvex CR -structure on N . Let ξ be a characteristic vector field induced by S^1 . Let $P: S^1 \times N \rightarrow N$ be the projection as before. We have a Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times N$:

$$(4.2.1) \quad g = \sigma \odot P^*\omega + d\omega(JP_*-, P_*-).$$

Let $d\omega^\alpha = \omega^\beta \wedge \varphi_\beta^\alpha$ be the structure equation on W for the Kähler form Ω . As $d\omega = \mathbf{i}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\pi^*\omega^\alpha \wedge \pi^*\omega^{\bar{\beta}}$, the structure equation for ω becomes

$$d\pi^*\omega^\alpha = \pi^*\omega^\beta \wedge \pi^*\varphi_\beta^\alpha.$$

Let s be the scalar curvature of W . Then the Webster scalar function is defined as $\rho = \pi^*s$. By the definition,

$$(4.2.2) \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{n+2} \left(dt + \mathbf{i}P^*\pi^*\varphi_\alpha^\alpha - \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\pi^*s \cdot P^*\omega \right).$$

As ξ is characteristic, $\omega(\xi) = 1$, and $P_*\xi = \xi$, $\pi_*(\xi) = 0$ on W . From (4.2.2), we obtain that

$$(4.2.3) \quad \sigma(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2(n+1)(n+2)}s.$$

Let c be a positive constant. When W is the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^n$, a complex torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ or a complex hyperbolic manifold $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n/\Gamma$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c , 0 , $-c$ respectively, the scalar curvature $s = \frac{n(n+1)c}{2}$, 0 , $-\frac{n(n+1)c}{2}$ respectively. It follows from (4.2.3) that

$$\sigma(\xi) = -\frac{nc}{4(n+2)}, 0, \frac{nc}{4(n+2)}$$

respectively. We obtain that

$$(4.2.4) \quad g(\xi, \xi) = \begin{cases} -\frac{nc}{2(n+2)} & \text{for } \mathbb{CP}^n, \\ 0 & \text{for } T_{\mathbb{C}}^n, \\ \frac{nc}{2(n+2)} & \text{for } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n/\Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Note that there are principal S^1 -bundles as a connection bundle:

$$(4.2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{CP}^n, \\ \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}^n, \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & V_{-1}^{2n,1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n. \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{N}$ is the Heisenberg Lie group with isometry group $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n)$. There is a discrete subgroup $\Delta \leq \mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n)$ whose quotient has a principal fibration: $S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\Delta \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. Using the complex coordinates, $V_{-1}^{2n,1}$ is defined as

$$(4.2.6) \quad \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 - |z_{n+1}|^2 = -1\}.$$

Moreover, the complement $S^{2n+1} - S^{2n-1}$ is identified with $V_{-1}^{2n,1}$. Then the group $\text{U}(n, 1)$ acts transitively on $V_{-1}^{2n,1}$ whose stabilizer at a point is isomorphic to $\text{U}(n)$. Moreover, there exists a discrete cocompact subgroup Γ of $\text{U}(n, 1)$ such that the image $\pi(\Gamma)$ is a torsionfree discrete cocompact subgroup of $\text{U}(n, 1) = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$.

Note that if the first summand S^1 of $S^1 \times N$ generates a vector field $\mathcal{S}$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2}dt(\mathcal{S}) = 1$ and $P^*\omega(\mathcal{S}) = \omega(P_*\mathcal{S}) = 0$. Then $g(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$, i.e. S^1 is lightlike.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let X be one of the compact conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$, $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}/\Delta$ or $S^1 \times V_{-1}^{2n,1}/\Gamma$. Then X admits a lightlike Killing vector field $\mathcal{S}$ and a timelike (resp. lightlike, spacelike) Killing vector field ξ .*

5. UNIFORMIZATION

5.1. Uniformization of Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds.

We prove the following uniformization concerning Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds.

Proposition 5.1.1. *Let M be a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold (i.e. admits a $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure.) If M is conformally flat, then M is uniformizable with respect to $(\hat{U}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1,1})$.*

Proof. Suppose that M is a conformally flat Lorentz $(2n+2)$ -manifold. By the definition, there exists a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Let $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow S^{2n+1,1}$, $\varphi_\beta : U_\beta \rightarrow S^{2n+1,1}$ be charts with $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$. The coordinate change $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} : \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ extends to a transformation $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \text{PO}(2n+2, 2)$ of $S^{2n+1,1}$.

By the existence of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure, we have the principal frame bundle: $G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow M$ where $G_{\mathbb{C}} \leq \text{O}(2n+1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. This bundle restricted to each neighborhood U_α gives the trivial principal bundle on each neighborhood of $S^{2n+1,1}$:

$$G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha*}(P|_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha).$$

There is the commutative diagram:

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varphi_{\beta*}(P|_{U_\beta}) & \xrightarrow{g_{\alpha\beta*}} & \varphi_{\alpha*}(P|_{U_\alpha}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) & \xrightarrow{g_{\alpha\beta}} & \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta). \end{array}$$

Since the subgroup $\hat{U}(n+1, 1)$ acts transitively on $S^{2n+1,1}$, we choose an element $h \in \hat{U}(n+1, 1)$ for which $g = h \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} \in \text{PO}(2n+2, 2)$ satisfies that $gx = x$ for some point $x \in S^{2n+1,1}$. Then the differential map $g_* : T_x S^{2n+1,1} \rightarrow T_x S^{2n+1,1}$ satisfies that $g_* \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Suppose that H is a subgroup of $\text{PO}(2n+2, 2)$ containing $\hat{U}(n+1, 1)$ which preserves the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure. As above, note that $g \in H_x$. If $\tau : H_x \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_x S^{2n+1,1})$ is the tangential representation, then it follows that

$$\tau(H_x) \leq G_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rtimes (\text{U}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Since τ is injective for any connected compact subgroup of H_x , this implies that a maximal compact subgroup K' of H_x is isomorphic to $U(n)$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of H containing K' . By the Iwasawa-Levi decomposition,

$$K/K' \cong H/H_x = S^{2n+1,1} = S^1 \times S^{2n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

K must be isomorphic to $U(n+1) \cdot U(1)$.

On the other hand, $U(n+1) \cdot U(1) \leq (O(2n+2) \cdot O(2))$ is the maximal compact unitary subgroup of $\hat{U}(n+1,1)$. As $\hat{U}(n+1,1) \leq H$, we obtain that $\hat{U}(n+1,1) = H$. In particular, $g = h \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} \in H_x \leq \hat{U}(n+1,1)$. It follows that $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \hat{U}(n+1,1)$. Therefore the maximal collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ gives a uniformization with respect to $(\hat{U}(n+1,1), S^{2n+1,1})$. $\square$

Remark 5.1.1. *If ∞ is the infinity point of $S^{2n+1,1}$ (which maps to the point at infinity $\{\infty\}$ of S^{2n+1}) (cf. (6.1) of Section 6.1.1), then it is noted that the stabilizer (up to conjugacy) is*

$$PO(2n+2,2)_\infty = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \rtimes (O(2n+1,1) \times \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Note that the intersection $\hat{U}(n+1,1) \cap PO(2n+2,2)_\infty$ is

$$\hat{U}(n+1,1)_\infty = \mathcal{N} \rtimes (U(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+).$$

In fact, $O(2n+1,1)$ contains the similarity subgroup $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \rtimes (O(2n) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ so that

$$\mathcal{N} \rtimes U(n) \subset (\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rtimes O(2n).$$

The conformally flat Lorentz geometry $(PO(2n+2,2), S^{2n+1,1})$ restricts a subgeometry $(\hat{U}(n+1,1), S^{2n+1,1})$. It is noted that the full subgroup of $PO(2n+2,2)$ preserving the G -structure on $S^{2n+1,1}$ is $\hat{U}(n+1,1)$.

Definition 5.1.1. *The pair $(\hat{U}(n+1,1), S^{2n+1,1})$ is said to be conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic geometry. A smooth $(2n+2)$ -dimensional manifold M is a conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold if M is locally modelled on $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}$ with local changes lying in $U(n+1,1)^\sim$.*

Here $U(n+1,1)^\sim$ is a lift of $\hat{U}(n+1,1)$ to $PO(2n+1,2)^\sim$ which has the central group extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow U(n+1,1)^\sim \xrightarrow{\hat{Q}_\mathbb{Z}} \hat{U}(n+1,1) \rightarrow 1.$$

We close this section by showing the following examples of *compact conformally flat Lorentz parabolic manifolds*.

- Lorentz flat space forms which admit Lorentz parabolic structure but not Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure.
- Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold which do not admit Fefferman-Lorentz structure.
- Conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}^3/\Delta$ on which S^1 acts as lightlike isometries. (This is shown in Section 4.2 and Proposition 4.1.3.)

5.2. Examples of 4-dimensional Lorentz flat parabolic manifolds II. Let $\mathcal{N}^3 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group with group law:

$$(a, z)(b, w) = (a + b - \operatorname{Im} \bar{z}w, z + w).$$

Recall that Lorentz flat geometry $(E(2, 1), \mathbb{R}^3)$ where $E(2, 1) = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes O(2, 1)$. Let $O(2, 1)_\infty$ be the stabilizer at the point at infinity in $S^1 = \partial \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. It is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^1) = \mathbb{R} \rtimes (O(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ as in (3.1.2). Put $z = x + it$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We define a continuous homomorphism:

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho : \mathcal{N}^3 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes O(2, 1)_\infty, \\ \rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ x \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I\right), \\ \rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} it \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{t^3}{6} \\ -\frac{t^2}{2} \\ t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & -\frac{t^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ρ is a simply transitive representation of $\mathcal{N}^3$ onto the Lorentz flat space $\mathbb{R}^3$. (Compare [16].)

Proposition 5.2.1. *There is a 4-dimensional compact Lorentz flat space form $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}^3/\Delta$ which admits a Lorentz parabolic structure but not admit Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure.*

Proof. Taking $\mathbb{R}$ as timelike parallel translations, we extend the representation ρ naturally to a simply transitive 4-dimensional representation:

$$\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes O(2, 1)_\infty \subset E(3, 1)$$

$$(5.2.2) \quad \tilde{\rho}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}^3) = \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Here note that $E(3, 1)\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes O(3, 1) \subset O(4, 2)_\infty$. If we choose a discrete uniform subgroup $\Delta \subset \mathcal{N}^3$, then a compact aspherical manifold $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}^3/\Delta$ admits a (complete) flat Lorentz structure such that

$$S^1 \times \mathcal{N}^3/\Delta \cong \mathbb{R}^4/\tilde{\rho}(\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta).$$

We check that $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}^3 / \Delta$ cannot admit a Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure. For this, if so, by Proposition 5.1.1, the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}^3$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $U(2, 1)$ up to an element of $O(4, 2)$. Since $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}^3$ is nilpotent, it belongs to $U(2, 1)_\infty = S^1 \cdot \mathcal{N}^3 \rtimes (U(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ up to conjugate. This is impossible because S^1 is lightlike. $\square$

5.3. Examples of conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds III. We shall give compact conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifolds which are not equivalent to the product of S^1 with spherical CR -manifold, i.e. not a Fefferman-Lorentz manifold. Consider the commutative diagram.

$$(5.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & (U(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1, 1}) \searrow^{(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P})} \\ \downarrow & & (\hat{Q}_Z, \hat{Q}) \downarrow \quad (PU(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}) \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & (\hat{U}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1, 1}) \nearrow_{(\hat{P}, P)} \end{array}$$

We start with a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \hat{U}(n+1, 1)$ such that $S^1 \cap \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some integer p . If we let $\pi = \hat{Q}_Z^{-1}(\Gamma)$, then there is the nontrivial group extensions:

$$(5.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & \tilde{P}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & U(n+1, 1)^\sim & \xrightarrow{\tilde{P}} & PU(n+1, 1) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

The group π defines a cocycle $[f] \in H^2(\tilde{P}(\Gamma), \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that a is an irrational number. Then $[a \cdot f] \in H^2(\tilde{P}(\Gamma), \mathbf{R})$ which induces a group extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{a}{p}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi(a) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 1.$$

Here $\pi(a)$ is viewed as the product $\frac{a}{p}\mathbb{Z} \times P(\Gamma)$ with group law:

$$(\frac{a}{p}m, \alpha)(\frac{a}{p}\ell, \beta) = (\frac{a}{p}(m + \ell) + a \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta) \quad (\forall \alpha, \beta \in \tilde{P}(\Gamma)).$$

(Refer to [24] and references therein for a construction of group actions by group extensions.)

As $\mathbf{R}$ is the center of $U(n+1, 1)^\sim$, it follows that

$$(\frac{a}{p}m, \alpha) = (\frac{a}{p}m, 1)(1, \alpha) \in \mathbf{R} \cdot U(n+1, 1)^\sim = U(n+1, 1)^\sim.$$

This shows that

$$(5.3.3) \quad \pi(a) \subset \mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim.$$

As $\tilde{P}(\Gamma)$ is discrete, so is $\pi(a)$ in $\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$. Let $L(\tilde{P}(\Gamma))$ be the limit set of $\tilde{P}(\Gamma)$ in S^{2n+1} . Then it is known that $\tilde{P}(\Gamma)$ acts properly discontinuously on the domain $\Omega = S^{2n+1} - L(\tilde{P}(\Gamma))$ (cf. [17],[12]). If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, then the quotient $\Omega/\tilde{P}(\Gamma)$ is a spherical CR -orbifold. Since $\mathbf{S}^1 = \mathbf{R}/\frac{a}{p}\mathbb{Z}$ is compact, it is easy to see that $\pi(a)$ acts properly discontinuously on $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} - \tilde{P}^{-1}(L(\tilde{P}(\Gamma)))$. Putting

$$M(a) = \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} - \tilde{P}^{-1}(L(\tilde{P}(\Gamma)))/\pi(a),$$

$M(a)$ is a smooth compact conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold which supports a fibration:

$$\mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow M(a) \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \Omega/\tilde{P}(\Gamma).$$

On the other hand, as $\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi(a)) = \hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{a}{p}\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \Gamma$ from (5.3.1), the closure in $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1)$ becomes

$$(5.3.4) \quad \overline{\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi(a))} = S^1 \cdot \Gamma.$$

Whenever a is irrational, $M(a)$ cannot descend to a locally smooth orbifold modelled on $(\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1,1})$. So $M(a)$ is not equivalent to the product manifold. Hence we have

Proposition 5.3.1. *Let a be an irrational number. There exists a compact $(2n+2)$ -dimensional conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold $M(a)$ which is a nontrivial S^1 -bundle over a spherical CR -manifold. Moreover, $M(a)$ is not equivalent to the product manifold.*

For example, such $\pi(a)$ is obtained as follows. $\mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1)$ has the subgroup $\mathrm{U}(n, 1) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(n, 1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$ which acts transitively on $S^{2n+1} - S^{2n-1} = V_{-1}^{2n,1}$. (See (4.2.6).) Since the stabilizer at a point is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(n)$, there exists a $\mathrm{U}(n, 1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $V_{-1}^{2n,1}$. If Γ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(n, 1)$, then $L(\Gamma) = L(\mathrm{U}(n, 1)) = S^{2n-1}$. Chasing the diagram

$$(5.3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} & \mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1) \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{U}(n, 1) \times \mathrm{U}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{U}(n, 1), \end{array}$$

we start with $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{U}(n, 1) \times \{1\} \subset \mathrm{U}(n, 1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. Then we get a Fefferman Lorentz manifolds $M(a) = \mathbf{R} \times V_{-1}^{2n+1}/\pi(a)$ where $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} - \tilde{S}^{2n-1,1} = \mathbf{R} \times V_{-1}^{2n+1}$.

Put $\pi = \pi(1)$ for $a = 1$. In this case, $\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi) = \Gamma$. The previous construction shows that

$$M(1) = \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} - \tilde{S}^{2n-1,1}/\pi = S^{2n+1,1} - S^{2n-1,1}/\Gamma = S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} V_{-1}^{2n+1}/\Gamma.$$

$M(1)$ is a conformally flat Fefferman Lorentz manifold M . Varying a , we see that $M(a)$ is nonequivalent with $M(1)$ as a Fefferman-Lorentz metric.

Remark 5.3.1. *We have also $\mathrm{O}(m+1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -structure on $(m+2)$ -manifolds as a parabolic structure. Similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1.1, we can show that*

Proposition 5.3.2. *Let M be a smooth $(m+2)$ -manifold with an $\mathrm{O}(m+1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -structure. If M is conformally flat Lorentz such that $\mathrm{O}(m+1) \leq \mathrm{O}(m+2)$ (not maximal), then M is uniformized with respect to $(\mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(m+2), S^1 \times S^{m+1})$. In particular, if M is compact, then M covers $S^{m+1,1}$.*

Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $m+1$. Then $S^1 \times M$ admits a natural Lorentz metric $\mathbf{g}$ for which S^1 acts as timelike isometries. Even if M is conformally flat, $S^1 \times M$ need not be a conformally flat Lorentz manifold. For example, $S^1 \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m+1}$. However, $S^1 \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m+1}/\Gamma$ is covered by $(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}(1,1) \times \mathrm{O}(m+1,1)), \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m+1})$ for which $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}(1,1) \times \mathrm{O}(m+1,1)) \leq \mathrm{PO}(m+2,2)$. So $S^1 \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m+1}/\Gamma$ is conformally flat Lorentz but S^1 (or $\mathbb{R}$) is not a group of timelike isometries.

6. CONFORMALLY FLAT FEFFERMAN-LORENTZ PARABOLIC GEOMETRY

Recall that $\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathrm{FLP}}(M)$ is the group of conformal transformations preserving the Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure (cf. (3.1.2)). We shall consider the representations of one-parameter subgroups $H \leq \mathrm{Conf}_{\mathrm{FLP}}(M)$.

6.1. One-parameter subgroups in $\hat{U}(n+1, 1)$. The following commutative diagrams are obtained.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{Z} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{Z} & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 (6.1.1) \quad \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1, 1}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P})} & (\mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}) \\
 \downarrow & & (\hat{Q}_\mathbb{Z}, \hat{Q}) \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 S^1 & \longrightarrow & (\hat{U}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1, 1}) & \xrightarrow{(\hat{P}, P)} & (\mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}).
 \end{array}$$

Here $\tilde{S}^{2n+1, 1} = \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1}) & & \\
 (Q_\mathbb{Z}, \tilde{Q}) \downarrow & \searrow (\hat{Q}_\mathbb{Z}, \hat{Q}) & \\
 \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow (\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1), S^1 \times S^{2n+1}) & \xrightarrow{(Q_2, P_\mathbb{R})} & (\hat{U}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1, 1}).
 \end{array}$$

By (4.1.2), there is the projection:

$$(6.1.3) \quad P_\mathbb{C} = P \circ P_\mathbb{R} : V_0 (\supset S^1 \times S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{P_\mathbb{R}} S^{2n+1, 1} \xrightarrow{P} S^{2n+1}.$$

As usual the following points $\{\infty, 0\}$ are defined on the conformal Riemannian sphere:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \infty &= P_\mathbb{C}(f_1) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right] = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S^{2n+1}, \\
 (6.1.4) \quad 0 &= P_\mathbb{C}(f_{n+2}) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right] = (0, \dots, 0, -1) \in S^{2n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$\hat{\infty} = P_\mathbb{R}(f_1) \in S^{2n+1, 1}, \quad \hat{0} = P_\mathbb{R}(f_{n+2}) \in S^{2n+1, 1}$$

such that

$$P(\hat{\infty}) = \infty, \quad P(\hat{0}) = 0.$$

Suppose that H is a one-parameter subgroup $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathrm{FLP}}(M)$ and $\tilde{H} = \{\tilde{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is its lift to $\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathrm{FLP}}(\tilde{M})$. Let $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$ be a homomorphism. For simplicity write $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\phi}_t) = \tilde{\rho}(t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and put

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.1.5) \quad \rho &= Q_\mathbb{Z} \circ \tilde{\rho} : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(n+1, 1), \\
 \hat{\rho} &= Q_2 \circ \rho = \hat{Q}_\mathbb{Z} \circ \tilde{\rho} : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \hat{U}(n+1, 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $P : \mathrm{U}(n+1, 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1)$ is the projection, it follows from (6.1.1), (6.1.5) that $P \circ Q_\mathbb{Z} = \hat{P} \circ \hat{Q}_\mathbb{Z} = \tilde{P}$ for which

$$(6.1.6) \quad \tilde{P}(\tilde{\rho}(t)) = P\rho(t).$$

Since $\tilde{P} \circ \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H}) = P \circ \rho(\tilde{H})$, we put

$$(6.1.7) \quad \mathbf{G} = \overline{P \circ \rho(\tilde{H})} \leq \mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1).$$

We determine the connected closed subgroup $\mathbf{G}$ by using the results of [14]. First recall that $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+2}\}$ is the standard complex basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ equipped with the Lorentz Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (cf. (4.1.1)); $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ ($2 \leq i, j \leq n+2$), $\langle e_{n+2}, e_{n+2} \rangle = -1$. Setting $f_1 = e_1 + e_{n+2}/\sqrt{2}$, $f_{n+2} = e_1 - e_{n+2}/\sqrt{2}$ as before, the frame $\{f_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}, f_{n+2}\}$ is the new basis such that

$$\langle f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle f_{n+2}, f_{n+2} \rangle = 0, \langle f_1, f_{n+2} \rangle = \langle f_{n+2}, f_1 \rangle = 1.$$

6.1.1. *Case I: $\mathbf{G}$ is noncompact.* It follows from [14, §3] that $P(\rho(\tilde{H}))$ itself is closed. We may put

$$(6.1.8) \quad \mathbf{G} = P \circ \rho(\tilde{H}) = \{P\rho(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}.$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{G}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N} \rtimes (\mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1)_\infty$ up to conjugate. (See Remark 5.1.1.) Moreover, the explicit form of $\{P\rho(t)\}$ can be described with respect to the basis $\{f_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}, f_{n+2}\}$. (Compare [15].) It has the following form

$$(6.1.9) \quad P\rho(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & t\mathbf{i} \\ 0 & A_t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A_t = (e^{ita_1}, \dots, e^{ita_n}) \in T^n \leq \mathrm{U}(n)$.

$$(6.1.10) \quad P\rho(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & 0 & t^2/2 + 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & B_t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $B_t = (e^{itb_1}, \dots, e^{itb_{n-1}}) \in T^{n-1} \leq \mathrm{U}(n-1)$.

$$(6.1.11) \quad P\rho(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A_t = (e^{ita_1}, \dots, e^{ita_n}) \in T^n \leq \mathrm{U}(n)$.

Let C_t be the matrix accordingly as whether $[C_t]$ is (6.1.9), (6.1.10) or (6.1.11). Noting that the center of $\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)$ is $S^1 = \{e^{it}\}$, the holonomy map $\rho : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)$ has the following form:

$$(6.1.12) \quad \rho(t) = \begin{cases} C_t & \text{(i),} \\ e^{it} \cdot C_t & \text{(ii).} \end{cases}$$

For (6.1.9), (6.1.10), $\mathbf{G}$ has the unique fixed point $\{\infty\}$ in S^{2n+1} . As $P_{\mathbb{C}}(f_1) = \infty$ (cf. (6.1.4)), $\rho(t)f_1 = \lambda \cdot f_1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. If $\rho(t) = C_t$ for (6.1.12), then $\rho(t)f_1 = f_1$ so that $\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{\infty} = \hat{\infty}$ by (6.1.2). Hence

$$(6.1.13) \quad \hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}) \text{ has the fixed point set } \{S^1 \cdot \hat{\infty}\} \text{ in } S^{2n+1,1}.$$

For (6.1.11), $\mathbf{G}$ has two fixed points $\{0, \infty\}$ in S^{2n+1} . If $\rho(t) = C_t$, then $\rho(t)f_1 = e^t \cdot f_1$, $\rho(t)f_{n+2} = e^{-t} \cdot f_{n+2}$. Since $P_{\mathbb{R}}(s \cdot v) = P_{\mathbb{R}}(v)$ for $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}^*, v \in V_0$, it follows that $\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{\infty} = \hat{\infty}$ and $\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{0} = \hat{0}$ in $S^{2n+1,1}$. Similarly as above,

$$(6.1.14) \quad \hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}) \text{ has the fixed point set } \{S^1 \cdot \hat{0}, S^1 \cdot \hat{\infty}\} \text{ in } S^{2n+1,1}.$$

6.1.2. *Case II: $\mathbf{G}$ is compact.* Using (6.1.6),

$$P(\rho(t)) = (e^{i a_1 t}, \dots, e^{i a_k t}, 1, \dots, 1) \in T^{n+1}$$

for some nonzero numbers $a_1, \dots, a_k$. Put $E_t = (e^{i a_1 t}, \dots, e^{i a_k t}, 1, \dots, 1)$. We may assume that the g.c.m of all a_i is 1 (up to scale factor of parameter t). Then $\rho(t)$ has one of the following forms:

$$(6.1.15) \quad \rho(t) = \begin{cases} E_t \in T^{n+1} \cdot S^1 & \text{(i),} \\ E_t \cdot e^{it} \in T^{n+1} \cdot S^1 & \text{(ii).} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 6.1.1. *Let (M, g) be a conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold which admits a one-parameter subgroup $H \leq \text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$ acting without fixed points on M . Suppose that*

$$(6.1.16) \quad (\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev}) : (\tilde{H}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1})$$

*is the developing pair. If $\mathbf{G} \neq \{1\}$, then either one of **Case A**, **Case B**, **Case C** or **Case D** holds:*

Case A. *The action of $\rho(\tilde{H})$ is of type (i) of (6.1.12).*

(1) *When C_t has the form of either (6.1.9) or (6.1.10),*

$$(6.1.17) \quad \hat{Q}(\text{dev}(\tilde{M})) \subset S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot \hat{\infty} = S^1 \times \mathcal{N},$$

where the centralizer $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}))$ of $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ in $\hat{\text{U}}(n+1, 1)$ is contained in $S^1 \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n))$.

(2) *When C_t has the form (6.1.11),*

$$(6.1.18) \quad \hat{Q}(\text{dev}(\tilde{M})) \subset S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot \{\hat{0}, \hat{\infty}\} = (S^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^+) \times S^1,$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}))$ is contained in $S^1 \times (\text{U}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Case B. The action of $\rho(\tilde{H})$ is of type (ii) of (6.1.12). Then $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ has no fixed point set on $S^{2n+1,1}$. In this case, $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}))$ is either contained in $S^1 \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \mathrm{U}(n))$ or $S^1 \times (\mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Case C. The action of $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ is of type (i) of (6.1.15).

$$(6.1.19) \quad \hat{Q}(\mathrm{dev}(\tilde{M})) \subset S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}$$

on which the subgroup $S^1 \times (\mathrm{U}(n-k+1, 1) \hat{\times} \mathrm{U}(k))$ acts transitively with compact stabilizer. The centralizer of $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ in $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1)$ is $S^1 \times (\mathrm{U}(n-k+1, 1) \hat{\times} T^k)$ where $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}) \subset T^k$.

Case D. The action of $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ is of type (ii) of (6.1.15). Then $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ has no fixed point set on $S^{2n+1,1}$ and its centralizer in $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1)$ is $S^1 \times (\mathrm{U}(n-k+1, 1) \hat{\times} T^k)$ where $S^1 \times \hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}) \subset T^k$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, H has no fixed point so does $\tilde{H}$ on $\tilde{M}$. Since dev is an immersion, the image $\mathrm{dev}(\tilde{M})$ misses the fixed point set of $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ in $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}$. Recall that there is the covering space from (6.1.1):

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathrm{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}) \xrightarrow{(\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \hat{Q})} (\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1,1}).$$

Noting that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ is connected, the image $\hat{Q}(\mathrm{dev}(\tilde{M}))$ also misses the fixed point set of $\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H})) = \hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$ of $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1)$ (cf. (6.1.5)). Then (1), (2) of **Case A** follow from (6.1.13) and (6.1.14) respectively and **Case B** follows easily because the center S^1 of $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(n+1, 1)$ acts freely on $S^{2n+1,1}$.

For the case (i) of (6.1.15), the fixed point set of $P(\rho(\tilde{H}))$ is

$$S^{2(n-k)+1} = \{(0, \dots, 0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in S^{2n+1}\}$$

in which the subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(n+1, 1)$ preserving $S^{2n+1} - S^{2(n-k)+1}$ is $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(n-k+1, 1) \times \mathrm{U}(k))$. Since $\hat{Q}(\mathrm{dev}(\tilde{M}))$ misses the fixed point set of $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})$, **Case C** follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(t) &= (e^{ita_1}, \dots, e^{ita_k}, 1, \dots, 1) \in T^k \leq S^1 \cdot T^{n+1}, \\ \hat{Q}(\mathrm{dev}(\tilde{M})) &\subset S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case (ii) of (6.1.15), it follows that

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = (e^{it(a_1+1)}, \dots, e^{it(a_k+1)}, e^{it}, \dots, e^{it}) \in S^1 \cdot T^{n+1}.$$

Similarly as **Case B**,

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H})) \leq S^1 \cdot \mathrm{U}(n-k+1, 1) \hat{\times} T^k$$

where $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{H}) \subset S^1 \cdot T^k$ which shows **Case D**. □

Denote by $\text{Isom}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$ the group of isometries preserving the Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure such that $\text{Isom}_{\text{FLP}}(M) \leq \text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$.

Proposition 6.1.2. *Let (M, g) be a conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold admitting a 1-parameter subgroup $H \leq \text{Isom}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$. If $G = \{1\}$, then the following hold.*

- (i) *The lift $\tilde{H}$ acts properly and freely on $\tilde{M}$ as lightlike isometries.*
- (ii) *$\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$ is a simply connected spherical CR-manifold on which the quotient group $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}$ acts as CR-transformations. Here $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})$ is the centralizer of $\tilde{H}$ in $\text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(\tilde{M})$.*
- (iii) *The conformal developing pair $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev})$ for M induces a CR-developing pair:*

$$(6.1.20) \quad (\hat{\rho}, \hat{\text{dev}}): (\mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}, \tilde{M}/\tilde{H}) \rightarrow (\text{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $G = \{1\}$. Since $G = \overline{\tilde{P} \circ \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H})}$ from (6.1.6), (6.1.7), it follows that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H}) = \mathbb{R} \leq \text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$ which is lightlike with respect to g^0 where

$$(6.1.21) \quad g^0 = \sigma_0 \odot \tilde{P}^* \omega_0 + d\omega_0(J_0 \tilde{P}_* -, \tilde{P}_* -)$$

is the standard Lorentz metric on $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} = \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1}$ induced from (4.2.1). In particular, $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism. As $\mathbb{R}$ acts properly on $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} = \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1}$, $\tilde{H}$ acts properly on $\tilde{M}$. On the other hand, there exists a function u such that

$$(6.1.22) \quad \text{dev}^* g^0 = u \cdot g.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the vector field induced by $\tilde{H}$ on $\tilde{M}$. As $\mathcal{S}$ is the vector field induced by $\mathbb{R}$, we have that $\text{dev}_*(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}$. Since $u \cdot g(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = g^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$, noting the hypothesis that $\tilde{H} \leq \text{Iso}_{\text{FLP}}(\tilde{M})$, $\tilde{H}$ acts as lightlike isometries. This shows (i).

There is the commutative diagram:

$$(6.1.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{dev}} & \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1} \\ P \downarrow & & \tilde{P} \downarrow \\ \tilde{M}/\tilde{H} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\text{dev}}} & S^{2n+1}. \end{array}$$

We put

$$(6.1.24) \quad P^* \omega(X) = g(\mathcal{H}, X) \quad (\forall X \in T\tilde{M}).$$

Then ω is a well-defined 1-form on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$ by the fact that $g(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = 0$.

Note from (4.2.2), (3.3.6) that

$$(6.1.25) \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{n+2} \left(dt + i\tilde{P}^* \pi^* \varphi_\alpha^\alpha - \frac{nc}{4} \cdot \tilde{P}^* \omega_0 \right).$$

For $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H}) = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $\tilde{\rho}(h)^*\sigma_0 = \sigma_0$ ($\forall h \in \tilde{H}$). This implies that $\tilde{\rho}(h)^*g^0 = g^0$. Applying dev^* to this, $h^*\text{dev}^*g^0 = \text{dev}^*g^0 = u \cdot g$ by (6.1.22). As $h^*\text{dev}^*g^0 = h^*(u \cdot g) = h^*u \cdot g$, it follows $h^*u = u$ and so u factors through a map $\hat{u}: \tilde{M}/\tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(6.1.26) \quad P^*\hat{u} = u.$$

Moreover $\sigma_0(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2}$ from (3.3.4) and (6.1.25), so (6.1.21) implies that

$$g^0(\mathcal{S}, -) = \frac{1}{n+2} \tilde{P}^*\omega_0(-).$$

Using (6.1.26), the equation $\text{dev}^*g^0 = u \cdot g$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} P^*\hat{u} \cdot P^*\omega(X) &= u \cdot g(\mathcal{H}, X) = g^0(\mathcal{S}, \text{dev}_*X) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+2} \tilde{P}^*\omega_0(\text{dev}_*X) = \frac{1}{n+2} \text{dev}^* \tilde{P}^*\omega_0(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+2} P^*\hat{\text{dev}}^*\omega_0(X), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(6.1.27) \quad (n+2)\hat{u} \cdot \omega = \hat{\text{dev}}^*\omega_0.$$

As $\hat{\text{dev}}: \tilde{M}/\tilde{H} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ is an immersion, $\hat{\text{dev}}_*: \ker \omega \rightarrow \ker \omega_0$ is an isomorphism. Define $\hat{J}$ on $\ker \omega$ to be

$$(6.1.28) \quad \hat{\text{dev}}_*(\hat{J}X) = J_0\hat{\text{dev}}_*(X).$$

If we note that J_0 is a complex structure on $\ker \omega_0$, $\hat{J}$ turns out to be a complex structure on $\ker \omega$. Hence $(\ker \omega, \hat{J})$ gives a CR -structure on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$ for which $\hat{\text{dev}}$ is a CR -immersion.

Let $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})$ be the centralizer of $\tilde{H}$ in $\text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(\tilde{M})$. For $s \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})$ with $\hat{s} \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}$, there is a positive function v on $\tilde{M}$ such that $s^*g = v \cdot g$. Noting that $\tilde{H} \leq \text{Iso}_{\text{FLP}}(\tilde{M})$, we can check that $\tilde{h}^*v = v$ ($\forall h \in \tilde{H}$), i.e. there exists a function $\hat{v}$ on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$ such that $P^*\hat{v} = v$. Then it is easy to see that $\hat{s}^*\omega = \hat{v} \cdot \omega$ on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$. Using (6.1.28), it follows that $\hat{s}_* \circ \hat{J} = \hat{J} \circ \hat{s}_*$ on $\ker \omega$. Hence the group $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}$ preserves the CR -structure $(\ker \omega, \hat{J})$ on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{H}$. This shows (ii).

As $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H}) = \mathbb{R}$ is the center of $\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$, $\rho: \text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \text{U}(2n+1, 1)^\sim$ induces a homomorphism $\hat{\rho}: \mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H} \rightarrow \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$. Using the above commutative diagram, it follows that

$$\hat{\text{dev}}(\hat{\alpha}\hat{x}) = \hat{\rho}(\hat{\alpha})\hat{\text{dev}}(\hat{x}) \quad (\forall \hat{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}, \hat{x} \in \tilde{M}/\tilde{H}).$$

Hence (iii) is proved. □

Remark 6.1.1. *A Fefferman-Lorentz manifold $M = S^1 \times N$ is obviously an example satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 6.1.2.*

7. COINCIDENCE OF CURVATURE FLATNESS

In this section we shall prove the equivalence between conformally flatness of Fefferman-Lorentz manifolds and (spherical) flatness of underlying CR -manifolds. (Compare Theorem 7.4.2.)

7.1. Causal vector fields on $S^{2n+1,1}$. Let $H \leq \text{Conf}_{FLP}(M)$ be as before and $\rho : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \text{U}(n+1, 1)$ the representation. Denote by ξ the vector field on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ induced by the orbit $\rho(\tilde{H}) \cdot z$ for some $z \in S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$. Recall from (6.1.1) that

$$(7.1.1) \quad P_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho(t)(\lambda \cdot z)) = Q_2(\rho(t)) \cdot P_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda \cdot z) = \hat{\rho}(t) \cdot P_{\mathbb{R}}(z)$$

so we put the vector field $\hat{\xi}$ on $S^{2n+1,1}$ by

$$(7.1.2) \quad (P_{\mathbb{R}})_*(\xi_z) = \hat{\xi}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}(z)}.$$

Note that $P_*(\hat{\xi}) = P_{\mathbb{C}*}(\xi_z)$ is a vector field on S^{2n+1} by using $P_{\mathbb{C}} = P \circ P_{\mathbb{R}}$ (cf. (6.1.3)). From (4.2.1), let

$$g^0(X, Y) = (\sigma_0 \odot P_{\mathbb{C}}^* \omega_0)(X, Y) + d\omega_0(J_0 P_{\mathbb{C}*} X, P_{\mathbb{C}*} Y)$$

be the standard Lorentz metric on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ ($X, Y \in T(S^1 \times S^{2n+1})$).

Using the classification of one-parameter subgroups $\rho(\tilde{H}) = \{\rho(t)\} \leq \text{U}(n+1, 1)$ of Section 6.1, we examine the causality of the vector field ξ induced by H .

7.2. Nilpotent group case. (Compare Section 6.1.1, (6.1.12).)

As $g_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot g^0$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$, using $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ instead of g^0 , it suffices to check the causality of ξ . Note that $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2} dt$.

For the vector field ξ restricted to $S^1 \times \mathcal{N} \subset S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$, let $P_*(\xi)$ be the vector field on $\mathcal{N}$ which is induced by the one-parameter subgroup $P(H) \leq \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ as above. It is not necessarily a characteristic vector field except for the case (i) of (6.1.12), but note that $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_*(\xi)) \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{N}$. (Compare [14].) In fact, for the cases (6.1.9), (6.1.10), (6.1.11) respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad P_*(\xi) &= \frac{d}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \left(x_j \frac{d}{dy_j} - y_j \frac{d}{dx_j} \right), \\
\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_*(\xi)) &= 1 + (a_1|z_1|^2 + \cdots + a_k|z_k|^2). \\
(2) \quad P_*(\xi) &= -y_1 \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{d}{dx_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n b_j \left(x_j \frac{d}{dy_j} - y_j \frac{d}{dx_j} \right), \\
\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_*(\xi)) &= -2y_1 + (b_2|z_2|^2 + \cdots + b_n|z_n|^2). \\
(3) \quad P_*(\xi) &= 2t \frac{d}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n ((x_j - a_j y_j) \frac{d}{dx_j} + (y_j + a_j x_j) \frac{d}{dy_j}), \\
\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_*(\xi)) &= 2t + (a_1|z_1|^2 + \cdots + a_n|z_n|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

As above, $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{n+2} dt(\xi) = \frac{1}{n+2} \delta$ where $\delta = 0, 1$ according to the case (i) or (ii) of (6.1.12) respectively. We obtain that

$$g_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi, \xi) = \frac{2\delta}{n+2} \omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_*(\xi)) + d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(JP_*(\xi), P_*(\xi)).$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.1.7) that

$$(7.2.1) \quad d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(JP_*X, P_*Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n (dx_j^2 + dy_j^2)(P_*X, P_*Y).$$

Calculating $d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(JP_*(\xi), P_*(\xi))$ for the above $P_*(\xi)$ respectively, we see that $g_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi, \xi) = 0$ if and only if $\delta = 0$ and $P_*(\xi)$ is the characteristic vector field for $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ in (1). As a consequence, we obtain that

Causality (1). Suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ is noncompact. Let ξ be a lightlike conformal vector field on $S^{2n+1,1}$ induced by $\mathbf{G}$. Then ξ is a nonzero lightlike vector field of $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $S^1 \times \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\tilde{H} = \mathbb{R}$, $P_*(\xi) = \frac{d}{dt}$ is the characteristic vector field for $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}$.

7.3. Compact torus case. (cf. Section 6.1.2, (6.1.15)). It is possible to calculate g^0 by making use of σ_0 , however it is difficult to see ω_{α}^{α} . So we consider a different approach. Let $\mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{P_{\mathbb{R}}} S^{2n+1,1}$ be the projection for which $\hat{g}^0$ is the standard Lorentz metric on $S^{2n+1,1}$ with $g^0 = P_{\mathbb{R}}^* \hat{g}^0$. If we choose $c = \frac{2}{n}$ in (4.2.3), then

$$(7.3.1) \quad \hat{g}^0(\xi, \xi) = -\frac{1}{n+2} \text{ on } S^{2n+1,1}.$$

Recall from (4.1.1) that

$$V_0 = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} - \{0\} \mid \langle z, z \rangle = 0\}$$

in which $\langle z, w \rangle = \bar{z}_1 w_1 + \dots + \bar{z}_{n+1} w_{n+1} - \bar{z}_{n+2} w_{n+2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. For an arbitrary point $P_{\mathbb{R}}(\bar{p}) = [\bar{p}] \in S^{2n+1,1}$ (cf. (4.1.2)), choose a point $\bar{q} \in V_0$ such that

$$(7.3.2) \quad \langle \bar{p}, \bar{q} \rangle = r \quad (\exists r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}).$$

Note that $\langle \bar{p}, \bar{p} \rangle = \langle \bar{q}, \bar{q} \rangle = 0$. From (4.1.2), we have the decomposition:

$$(7.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{R}^* &= \mathbb{R}\bar{p} \rightarrow T_{\bar{p}}V_0 = \mathbb{C}\bar{p} + i\mathbb{R}\bar{q} + W_0 \\ &\xrightarrow{P_{\mathbb{R}*}} T_{[\bar{p}]}S^{2n+1,1} = \mathbb{C}\bar{p} + i\mathbb{R}\bar{q} + W_0/\mathbb{R}\bar{p} \\ &\approx i\mathbb{R}\bar{p} + i\mathbb{R}\bar{q} + W_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{\bar{p}}V_0 = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \mid \text{Re}\langle \bar{p}, v \rangle = 0\}$ and $W_0 = \langle \bar{p}, \bar{q} \rangle^\perp$. The decomposition is independent of the choice of $\bar{q}$ with respect to $\langle \bar{p}, \bar{q} \rangle \neq 0$. Note that $\text{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the metric on V_0 of dimension $2n+3$.

Proposition 7.3.1. *When $c = \frac{2}{n}$,*

$$\hat{g}^0(P_{\mathbb{R}*}X, P_{\mathbb{R}*}Y) = \text{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle \quad (X, Y \in T_{\bar{p}}V_0).$$

Proof. For an arbitrary point $x \in S^{2n+1,1}$, we can choose

$$\bar{p} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}z) \quad (\exists z \in S^1)$$

such that $P_{\mathbb{R}}(\bar{p}) = [\bar{p}] = x$. Then ξ_x is induced by the S^1 -orbit at $\bar{p}$:

$$c(\theta) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}z \cdot e^{-i\theta}) \in V_0.$$

Since $\dot{c}(0) = (0, \dots, 0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}zi)$, it follows that $P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{c}(0)) = \xi_x$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{S}_x$ is induced by the S^1 -orbit at $\bar{p}$:

$$s(\theta) = (a_1 \cdot e^{i\theta}, \dots, a_{n+1} \cdot e^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}z \cdot e^{i\theta}) \in V_0.$$

It follows that $\dot{s}(0) = (a_1 i, \dots, a_{n+1} i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}zi)$ for which $P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{s}(0)) = \mathcal{S}_x$. Then we check that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\langle \dot{c}(0), \dot{c}(0) \rangle &= -\frac{1}{n+2}, \\ \text{Re}\langle \dot{s}(0), \dot{c}(0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{n+2}, \\ \text{Re}\langle \dot{s}(0), \dot{s}(0) \rangle &= \langle \bar{p}, \bar{p} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (7.3.1) or (3.3.9) respectively shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}^0(\xi, \xi) &= \hat{g}^0(P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{c}(0)), P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{c}(0))) = \operatorname{Re}\langle \dot{c}(0), \dot{c}(0) \rangle. \\ \hat{g}^0(\mathcal{S}, \xi) &= \hat{g}^0(P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{s}(0)), P_{\mathbb{R}*}(\dot{c}(0))) = \operatorname{Re}\langle \dot{s}(0), \dot{c}(0) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since it is easy to see that $\ker \omega_0 = P_{\mathbb{R}*}(W_0)$ from (4.1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega_0(JP_{\mathbb{R}*}X, P_{\mathbb{R}*}Y) &= |dz_1|^2 + \cdots + |dz_{n+1}|^2(X, Y) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle \quad (\forall X, Y \in W_0).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\hat{g}^0(P_{\mathbb{R}*}X, P_{\mathbb{R}*}Y) = \operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle \quad (X, Y \in T(V_0)).$$

□

Lemma 7.3.1. *If the one-parameter group has the form (cf. Case C)*

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = (e^{\mathbf{i}ta_1}, \dots, e^{\mathbf{i}ta_k}, 1, \dots, 1) \in T^{n+1} \cdot S^1,$$

then $\hat{\xi}$ is spacelike on $S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}$.

Proof. Choose an arbitrary point $v = (z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1) \in V_0$ such that

$$(7.3.4) \quad |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_k|^2 + |z_{k+1}|^2 + \cdots + |z_{n+1}|^2 - |w_1|^2 = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(7.3.5) \quad \rho(t)(z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1) \\ = (e^{\mathbf{i}ta_1}z_1, \dots, e^{\mathbf{i}ta_k}z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\xi_v = (\mathbf{i}a_1z_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}a_kz_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ for which

$$\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle = a_1^2|z_1|^2 + \cdots + a_k^2|z_k|^2 \geq 0.$$

$\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $z_1 = \cdots = z_k = 0$. In this case such a point v satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned}(7.3.6) \quad P_{\mathbb{R}}(v) &= P_{\mathbb{R}}((0, \dots, 0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1)) \\ &= \frac{w_1}{|w_1|} P_{\mathbb{R}}((0, \dots, 0, \frac{z_{k+1}}{w_1}, \dots, \frac{z_{n+1}}{w_1}, 1)) \in S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows the lemma. (Compare (6.1.19).) □

Lemma 7.3.2. *If the one-parameter group has the form (cf. Case D)*

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = (e^{\mathbf{i}ta_1}, \dots, e^{\mathbf{i}ta_k}, 1, \dots, 1)e^{\mathbf{i}t} \in T^{n+1} \cdot S^1,$$

then either one of the following holds.

- (1) *When all $a_i > 0$ or all $a_i < -2$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), $\hat{\xi}$ is spacelike on $S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}$.*

- (2) When all $-2 \leq a_i < 0$, $\hat{\xi}$ is timelike on $S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k+\ell)+1}$ for some $\ell < k$.
- (3) Suppose that there exist a_i, a_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq k$) with (i) $a_i > 0$ or $a_i < -2$, or with (ii) $-2 < a_j < 0$. Then $\hat{\xi}$ is not causal on $S^{2n+1,1} - S^1 \cdot S^{2(n-k)+1}$.

Proof. For a point v of (7.3.4), it follows similarly as above:

$$\xi_v = (\mathbf{i}(a_1 + 1)z_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}(a_k + 1)z_k, \mathbf{i}z_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}z_{n+1}, \mathbf{i}w_1),$$

and so

$$(7.3.7) \quad \langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle = ((a_1 + 1)^2 - 1)|z_1|^2 + \dots + ((a_k + 1)^2 - 1)|z_k|^2.$$

Then the following possibilities occur:

- (1) If all $a_i > 0$ or all $a_i < -2$, then $\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $z_1 = \dots = z_k = 0$ for which $P_{\mathbb{C}}(v) \in S^{2(n-k)+1}$.
- (2) If all $-2 \leq a_i < 0$, then $\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle \leq 0$. Suppose that $a_{i_1} = \dots = a_{i_\ell} = -2$ for some ℓ . By the assumption that the g.c.m of all a_i is 1 (cf. Section 6.1.2), note that $\ell < k$. Let $v = (z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1) \in V_0$ such that $z_{i_{\ell+1}} = \dots = z_{i_k} = 0$. Then $\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $P_{\mathbb{C}}(v) \in S^{2(n-k+\ell)+1}$.
- (3) If there exist a_i, a_j such that $a_i > 0$ (or $a_i < -2$), or $-2 < a_j < 0$ (i.e. $(a_i + 1)^2 - 1 > 0$, $(a_j + 1)^2 - 1 < 0$), then $\langle \xi_v, \xi_v \rangle$ can be taken to be zero, positive or negative. $\square$

As a consequence,

Causality (2). If $\rho(t) = e^{-it} \cdot C_t$ or $\rho(t) = e^{it} \cdot C_t$ for (i), (ii) of (6.1.12), ξ cannot be lightlike.

7.4. Curvature equivalence. Suppose that $(S^1, M, [g])$ is a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold for which $S^1 \leq \text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$ and let

$$(7.4.1) \quad (\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev}) : (\tilde{S}^1, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1})$$

be the developing pair. Here $\tilde{S}^1$ is the lift of S^1 to the universal covering $\tilde{M}$. It is either S^1 or $\mathbb{R}$. If $\tilde{S}^1$ induces the vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ on $\tilde{M}$, then we note from Definition 2.2.1 that

$$\tilde{g}_x(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}) = 0, \quad \tilde{\xi}_x \neq 0 \quad (x \in \tilde{M}).$$

As $\text{dev}(t \cdot x) = \tilde{\rho}(t) \text{dev}(x)$ ($t \in \tilde{S}^1$), $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{S}^1)$ induces the vector field $\text{dev}_* \tilde{\xi}$ on the domain $\text{dev}(\tilde{M}) \subset \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}$. Let $\tilde{g}$ (respectively $\tilde{g}^0$) be the

lift of g (respectively the lift of canonical metric g^0 to $\tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}$). Since dev is a conformal immersion, there is a function $u > 0$ on $\tilde{M}$ such that $u(x) \cdot \tilde{g}_x(v, w) = \tilde{g}^0(\text{dev}_*v, \text{dev}_*w)$. In particular,

$$(7.4.2) \quad \tilde{g}_{\text{dev}(x)}^0(\text{dev}_*\tilde{\xi}, \text{dev}_*\tilde{\xi}) = 0 \quad (\text{dev}(x) \in \text{dev}(\tilde{M})).$$

Theorem 7.4.1. *Let $(M, [g])$ be a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic manifold which admits $S^1 \leq \text{Conf}_{\text{FLP}}(M)$. If the S^1 -action is lightlike and has no fixed points on M , then one of the following holds.*

- (i) M is a Seifert fiber space over a spherical CR orbifold M/S^1 .
- (ii) The developing pair $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev})$ reduces to

$$(\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}^1), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R} \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n)), \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N})$$

where $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1} - \mathbb{R}^1 \cdot \infty = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{G} \neq \{1\}$ for $H = S^1$. By the hypothesis, there are four possibilities **Cases A, B, C, D** by Proposition 6.1.1. Among them, as S^1 is lightlike, **Causality (1)** and **Causality (2)** of Section 7.1 imply **Case A (1)**, which shows (ii).

When $\mathbf{G} = \{1\}$, first note that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{S}^1) = \mathbf{R}$, the center of $\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$. As $\tilde{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}$, we have a central extension $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow 1$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(\pi)$ be the center of $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Since $\tilde{S}^1$ belongs to the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{Diff}(\tilde{M})}(\pi)$, it follows $\tilde{S}^1 \cap \pi \subset \mathcal{Z}(\pi)$. This shows that $\mathbb{Z} = \tilde{S}^1 \cap \mathcal{Z}(\pi) = \tilde{S}^1 \cap \pi$. Then this induces a central extensions:

$$(7.4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \pi \cdot \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

As $\mathbb{R} = \tilde{S}^1$ acts properly and freely on $\tilde{M}$, put $W = \tilde{M}/\tilde{S}^1$. Moreover, noting that $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = S^1$ and π acts properly discontinuously, the group $\pi \cdot \mathbb{R}$ acts properly on $\tilde{M}$. As a consequence, Q acts properly discontinuously on W with the equivariant fibration:

$$(7.4.4) \quad (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\pi \cdot \mathbb{R}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (Q, W).$$

On the other hand, there is the commutative diagram of the holonomy:

$$(7.4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & \text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim & \xrightarrow{\tilde{P}} & \text{PU}(n+1, 1) \\ \parallel & & \cup & & \cup \\ \tilde{\rho}(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\rho}(\pi \cdot \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{P}} & \hat{\rho}(Q). \end{array}$$

Then the developing pair (7.4.1) induces an equivariant developing map on the quotient space:

$$(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\text{dev}}) : (Q, W) \rightarrow (\text{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}),$$

where $S^{2n+1} = \tilde{S}^{2n+1,1}/\mathbf{R}$. Since $(\text{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1})$ is the spherical CR -geometry, W inherits a spherical CR -structure on which Q acts as CR -transformations. Taking the quotient of (7.4.4), $S^1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/S^1$ is a Seifert fiber space over the CR -orbifold $M/S^1 = Q \setminus W$. $\square$

Using Theorem 7.4.1 we can prove the following equivalence.

Theorem 7.4.2. *Let $(S^1 \times N, g)$ be a Fefferman-Lorentz manifold for a strictly pseudoconvex CR -manifold $(N, (\omega_N, J_N))$ of dimension $2n+1 \geq 3$ in which $g = \sigma \odot P^*\omega_N + d\omega_N(J_N P_*-, P_*-)$ is a Fefferman metric. Then $(S^1 \times N, g)$ is conformally flat if and only if $(N, (\omega_N, J_N))$ is spherical CR .*

Proof. By Theorem 7.4.1, the case (i) or (ii) occurs. If (i) occurs, then $(Q, W) = (\pi_1(N), \tilde{N})$ with $\tilde{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ for which there is a developing map

$$(7.4.6) \quad (\hat{\rho}, \hat{\text{dev}}) : (Q, \tilde{N}) \rightarrow (\text{PU}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1}).$$

We have to check that the spherical CR -structure $(\omega, \hat{J})$ induced by $\hat{\text{dev}}$ coincides with the original one (ω_N, J_N) on N . The contact form ω is obtained as

$$P^*\omega = g(\mathcal{S}, -)$$

from (6.1.24) of Proposition 6.1.2 and the complex structure $\hat{J}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\text{dev}}_* \hat{J} = J_0 \hat{\text{dev}}_*$$

on $\text{Ker } \omega$ from (6.1.28).

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the vector field induced by S^1 on $S^1 \times N$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2}$ from (3.3.7), it follows that $g(\mathcal{S}, -) = \frac{1}{n+2} P^*\omega_N(-)$ and so

$$(7.4.7) \quad \omega_N = (n+2)\omega.$$

If we note that S^1 acts as lightlike isometries of $(S^1 \times N, g)$, then it satisfies also Proposition 6.1.2 (cf. Remark 6.1.1). Then from (6.1.27),

$$(n+2)\hat{u} \cdot \omega = \hat{\text{dev}}^* \omega_0,$$

which implies that $\hat{\text{dev}}_*(\text{Ker } \omega) = \text{Ker } \omega_0$.

Let $\text{dev}^* g^0 = u \cdot g$ as before. If $P_*X, P_*Y \in \text{Ker } \omega$, then $g(X, Y) = \sigma \odot P^*\omega_N(X, Y) + d\omega_N(J_N P_*X, P_*Y) = d\omega_N(J_N P_*X, P_*Y)$

Noting $P^*\hat{u} = u$,

$$(7.4.8) \quad u \cdot g(X, Y) = \hat{u} \cdot d\omega_N(J_N P_* X, P_* Y).$$

On the other hand, using $\hat{\text{dev}}_* \hat{J} = J_0 \hat{\text{dev}}_*$ with (6.1.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dev}^* g^0(X, Y) &= g^0(\text{dev}_* X, \text{dev}_* Y) \\ &= d\omega_0(J_0 P_*(\text{dev}_* X), P_*(\text{dev}_* Y)) \\ &= d\omega_0(J_0 \hat{\text{dev}}_* P_* X, \hat{\text{dev}}_* P_* Y) \\ &= d\omega_0(\hat{\text{dev}}_* \hat{J} P_* X, \hat{\text{dev}}_* P_* Y) \\ &= \hat{\text{dev}}^* d\omega_0(\hat{J} P_* X, P_* Y). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $(n+2)\hat{u} \cdot d\omega = \hat{\text{dev}}^* d\omega_0$ on $\text{Ker } \omega$, it follows by (7.4.7) that

$$(7.4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dev}^* g^0(X, Y) &= (n+2)\hat{u} \cdot d\omega(\hat{J} P_* X, P_* Y) \\ &= \hat{u} \cdot d\omega_N(\hat{J} P_* X, P_* Y). \end{aligned}$$

Compared (7.4.8) and (7.4.9), we conclude that

$$\hat{J} = J_N.$$

Hence $(\text{Ker } \omega, \hat{J}) = (\text{Ker } \omega_N, J_N)$ so that $(N, (\omega_N, J_N))$ is a spherical CR -manifold.

We have to show that the case (ii) of Theorem 7.4.1 does not occur. If (ii) occurs, then we have a developing pair by Proposition 6.1.1:

$$(7.4.10) \quad (\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev}) : (\tilde{S}^1, \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R} \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n)), \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}).$$

Here $\tilde{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ be the vector field induced by $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N}$ as before. Put $\text{dev}_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}'$. Let

$$g_{\mathcal{N}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \odot P^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}} + d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(JP_* -, P_* -)$$

be the Lorentz metric on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}$ which is conformal to the standard metric g^0 . (Compare Section 7.1.) Note that $g_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}', \tilde{\mathcal{S}}') = 0$ because $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is lightlike. In this case, **Causality (1)** shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}'$ is the characteristic vector field, i.e. $\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(P_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}') = 1$. Moreover, Proposition 6.1.1 with **Causality (2)** implies that the lightlike vector field $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}'$ is of type (i) of (6.1.12). As $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{n+2} dt$ (cf. Section 7.2), it follows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}') = 0$.

As before, there exists a function $u > 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}$ such that $\text{dev}^* g_{\mathcal{N}} = u \cdot g$. Noting that $P_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}'$ is characteristic, a calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{N}}(\text{dev}_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \text{dev}_* V) &= g_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}', \text{dev}_* V) \\ &= \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \odot P^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}', \text{dev}_* V) + d\omega_{\mathcal{N}}(JP_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}', P_* \text{dev}_* V) \\ &= \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(\text{dev}_* V) \\ u \cdot g(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, V) &= u(\sigma \odot P^* \omega_N(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, V) + d\omega_N(JP_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, P_* V)) \\ &= \frac{u}{n+2} \cdot \omega_N(P_* V) \quad (P_* \tilde{\mathcal{S}} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(7.4.11) \quad \text{dev}^* \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{u}{n+2} \cdot P^* \omega_N.$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{u^{n+1}}{(n+2)^{n+1}} P^*(\omega_N \wedge (d\omega_N)^n) = \text{dev}^*(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \wedge (d\sigma_{\mathcal{N}})^n)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \tilde{N}$. As $d\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ as above, it follows that $P^*(\omega_N \wedge (d\omega_N)^n) = 0$ so that $\omega_N \wedge (d\omega_N)^n = 0$ on $\tilde{N}$, which contradicts that ω_N is a contact form on $\tilde{N}$. Therefore the case (ii) of Theorem 7.4.1 cannot occur. This proves the necessary condition.

Suppose that N is spherical CR . There exists a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subset S^{2n+1}$ is a homeomorphism. Consider the pullback of the S^1 -bundle:

$$(7.4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 \times U_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha} & S^1 \times S^{2n+1} \\ \downarrow & & P_C \downarrow \\ U_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & S^{2n+1} \end{array}$$

in which

$$(7.4.13) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(t, x) = (t, \varphi_\alpha(x))$$

When $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, the local change $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}$ extends to an automorphism $h \in \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ of S^{2n+1} . Put $U = \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \subset S^{2n+1}$. Consider the local diffeomorphism:

$$(7.4.14) \quad \tilde{h} = \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\beta^{-1} : S^1 \times U \rightarrow S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$$

for which

$$(7.4.15) \quad \tilde{h}(t, z) = (t, hz).$$

If we note that $U(n+1, 1)$ acts invariantly on V_0 such that $S^1 \times S^{2n+1} \subset V_0$, then there exists an element $f \in U(n+1, 1)$ with $Pf = h$ which satisfies that

$$(7.4.16) \quad \tilde{h} = f|_{S^1 \times U}.$$

By Proposition 4.1.1, $U(n+1, 1)$ acts conformally on $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ with respect to g^0 so it follows that

$$\tilde{h}^* g^0 = f^* g^0 = v \cdot g^0 \quad (\exists v > 0).$$

Since $\tilde{h}$ is a local conformal diffeomorphism, $\tilde{h}$ extends to a global conformal transformation of $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ by the Liouville's theorem. By uniqueness,

$$\tilde{h} = f \text{ on } S^1 \times S^{2n+1}.$$

As a consequence, the local change $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\beta^{-1}$ extends to an automorphism $\tilde{h} \in U(n+1, 1)$ of $S^{2n+1} \times S^1$. Therefore the charts $\{U_\alpha \times S^1, \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $N \times S^1$ gives a uniformization with respect to $(U(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1} \times S^1)$. As $(U(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1} \times S^1)$ is the lift of $(\hat{U}(n+1, 1), S^{2n+1,1})$, $N \times S^1$ is a conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz manifold. □

8. APPLICATION TO OBATA & FERRAND'S THEOREM

8.1. Noncompact conformal group actions. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a closed noncompact subgroup of $\text{Diff}(M)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ acts *analytically* on M . Contrary to compact group actions, noncompact (analytic) Lie group actions on a compact manifold is quite different. For example, there is a noncompact analytic action $(\mathcal{C}, M)$ such that the set of nonprincipal orbits $M_0 = \{x \in M \mid \dim \mathcal{C} \cdot x < \dim \mathcal{C}\}$ coincides with M . (See [28], [2, 3.1 Theorem, p.79] for instance.) We give a sufficient condition that M_0 is nowhere dense in M for noncompact Lorentz groups $\mathcal{C}$ acting analytically on a Lorentz manifold $(M, \mathbf{g})$. Let $\mathcal{C} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ which is closed in $\text{Diff}(M)$. If S^1 does not act as Lorentz isometries with respect to $\mathbf{g}$, then we put

$$(8.1.1) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \int_{S^1} h^* \mathbf{g} dh$$

where ds is a right-invariant Haar measure on S^1 . Then S^1 acts as Lorentz isometries with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$. On the other hand, as S^1 acts conformally with respect to $\mathbf{g}$, $h^* \mathbf{g} = \lambda_h \cdot \mathbf{g}$ for some function $\lambda_h > 0$ on

M . Letting $\tau(x) = \int_{S^1} \lambda_h(x) dh$ ($x \in M$), it follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \tau \cdot \mathbf{g}$ on

M . We obtain a Lorentz metric $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ conformal to $\mathbf{g}$. So if $\mathcal{C} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$

acts conformally on (M, g) , we may assume that S^1 acts as isometries within the conformal class of the Lorentz metric g .

Proposition 8.1.1. *If a Lorentz $(n + 2)$ -manifold (M, g) admits a closed two dimensional subgroup $\mathcal{C}$ isomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ where S^1 consists of lightlike conformal transformations, then*

$$V = \{x \in M \mid \dim \mathcal{C} \cdot x = 2\}$$

is a dense open subset of M .

Proof. We suppose that S^1 acts isometries. Let $\tilde{F}$ (respectively F) be the fixed point set of $\mathbb{R}$ (respectively S^1). Note that S^1 leaves $\tilde{F}$ invariant. If E is the set of exceptional orbits of S^1 , then S^1 acts freely on the complement $M_0 = M - (E \cup F \cup \tilde{F})$. Note that M_0 is a dense open subset of M . There is a principal bundle over the orbit space $N_0 = M_0/S^1$;

$$(8.1.2) \quad S^1 \longrightarrow M_0 \xrightarrow{P} N_0.$$

Suppose that $\dim \mathcal{C} \cdot x = 1$ for some open subset U of M_0 ($\forall x \in U$). If we set $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$, then it follows that

$$\mathcal{C} \cdot x = \{\varphi_t x\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = S^1 \cdot x.$$

Let ξ_x be the vector induced by $\mathcal{C} \cdot x$ ($x \in U$). By the hypothesis, ξ is a lightlike (Killing) vector field on U . For an arbitrary point $y \in U$, as $\varphi_t y \in S^1 \cdot y$, there exists an element $h_t^y \in S^1$ such that

$$(8.1.3) \quad \varphi_t y = h_t^y \cdot y.$$

This implies that $P \circ \varphi_t = P$ on M_0 . Put $z = \varphi_t y = h_t y$ where we let $h_t = h_t^y$ for brevity. For a vector $v_y \in T_y M_0$, we have that $P_* \varphi_{t*} v_y = P_* v_y = P_* h_{t*} v_y$. Since $\varphi_{t*} v_y, h_{t*} v_y \in T_z M_0$, it follows that

$$\varphi_{t*} v_y = h_{t*} v_y + a \xi_z \quad (\exists a \in \mathbb{R}).$$

As ξ_y is lightlike, we can find a vector $\eta_y \in T_y M_0$ such that

$$g(\eta_y, \eta_y) = 0, \quad g(\xi_y, \eta_y) = 1.$$

As above, there exists an element $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi_{t*} \eta_y = h_{t*} \eta_y + b \xi_z.$$

Since $g(\varphi_{t*} \eta_y, \varphi_{t*} \eta_y) = \lambda_t(y) \cdot g(\eta_y, \eta_y) = 0$ and $h_t y = z$, a calculation shows that

$$(8.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= g(h_{t*} \eta_y + b \xi_z, h_{t*} \eta_y + b \xi_z) \\ &= 2bg(h_{t*} \eta_y, \xi_z) = 2bg(h_{t*} \eta_y, h_{t*} \xi_y) \\ &= 2bg(\eta_y, \xi_y) = 2b, \end{aligned}$$

so it follows that $\varphi_{t*} \eta_y = h_{t*} \eta_y$.

Noting that $\{\xi_y, \eta_y\}$ spans a nondegenerate plane of signature $(1, 1)$, there exists a vector v_y such that $g(v_y, v_y) = 1$, $g(\xi_y, v_y) = g(\eta_y, v_y) = 0$. There are n -independent such vectors. The set of those vectors with $\{\xi_y, \eta_y\}$ constitutes $T_y M_0$. As above, let $\varphi_{t*} v_y = h_{t*} v_y + a \xi_z$. Similarly, using $\varphi_{t*} \eta_y = h_{t*} \eta_y$, the equation $g(\varphi_{t*} \eta_y, \varphi_{t*} v_y) = 0$ shows that $a = 0$, i.e. $\varphi_{t*} v_y = h_{t*} v_y$. From these calculations, we obtain that

$$(8.1.5) \quad \varphi_{t*} X_y = h_{t*} X_y, \quad (\forall X_y \in T_y M_0).$$

Now, noting $h_t \in S^1$,

$$(8.1.6) \quad \varphi_t^* g(X_y, Y_y) = g(h_{t*} X_y, h_{t*} Y_y) = g(X_y, Y_y) \quad (\forall X_y, Y_y \in T_y M_0).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbb{R}$ acts conformally, there exists a positive function λ_t on M such that $\varphi_t^* g = \lambda_t \cdot g$ for each t , (8.1.6) implies that $\lambda_t(y) = 1$. This is true for an arbitrary point $y \in U$, so $\lambda_t = 1$ on U . In particular, φ_t ($\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$) becomes a Lorentz isometry on U (and so is on M by analyticity).

Recall from (8.1.3) that $\varphi_t y = h_t \cdot y$. Since $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_a y = y$. (In fact, if $a(y) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{t \mid \varphi_t y = y\}$, we put $a = a(y)$.) Then it follows that $y = \varphi_a y = h_a y$. As S^1 acts freely on M_0 , $h_a = 1$. From (8.1.5), we have that

$$(8.1.7) \quad \varphi_{a*} X_y = X_y \quad (\forall X_y \in T_y M_0).$$

Since φ_a is a Lorentz isometry, if γ is any geodesic issuing from y , then $\varphi_a \gamma$ is also a geodesic on U . From (8.1.7), the uniqueness of geodesic implies that $\varphi_a \gamma = \gamma$ on U . Hence $\varphi_a = \text{id}$ on U . By analyticity, $\varphi_a = \text{id}$ on M . Letting $\mathbb{Z} = \langle na \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ so that $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{C}$ would be isomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1$. This contradicts our hypothesis that $\mathcal{C}$ is noncompact. Hence the subset $\{x \in M \mid \dim \mathcal{C} \cdot x = 2\}$ is dense open in M . □

Theorem 8.1.1. *Let $M = S^1 \times N$ be a compact Fefferman-Lorentz manifold and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M, g)}(S^1)$ the centralizer of S^1 in $\text{Conf}(M, g)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M, g)}(S^1)$ contains a closed noncompact subgroup of dimension 1 at least. Then M is conformally equivalent to the two-fold cover $S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$ of the standard Lorentz manifold $S^{2n+1, 1}$.*

Proof. We can choose a closed subgroup $\mathcal{C} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Conf}(M, g)}(S^1)$ by the hypothesis. Here recall that the vector field $\mathcal{S}$ generated by S^1 of $M = S^1 \times N$ is lightlike.

Recall from (4.2.1) that

$$(8.1.8) \quad g = \sigma \odot P^* \omega + d\omega(JP_* -, P_* -)$$

is a Lorentz metric on a Fefferman-Lorentz manifold $M = S^1 \times N$ where $P: S^1 \times N \rightarrow N$ is the projection. Then $\mathcal{C}$ induces an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on the quotient N such that P is equivariant:

$$(8.1.9) \quad P : (\mathcal{C}, M) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, N).$$

If $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a 1-parameter group of $\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, then there exists a 1-parameter group of $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$P \circ \varphi_t = \hat{\varphi}_t \circ P.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}$ acts as conformal transformations with respect to g , there exists a function $\lambda_t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(8.1.10) \quad \varphi_t^* g = \lambda_t \cdot g.$$

If $h \in S^1$, since $h^* \varphi_t^* g = \varphi_t^* h^* g = \varphi_t^* g$ and $h^* \varphi_t^* g = h^* (\lambda_t \cdot g) = h^* \lambda_t \cdot g$, it follows that $h^* \lambda_t = \lambda_t$ ($\forall h \in S^1$). So λ_t factors through a function $\hat{\lambda}_t : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ($\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$). We note also that $\varphi_{t*} \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$ and $P_* \mathcal{S} = 0$. Then

$$(8.1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_t^* g(X, \mathcal{S}) &= \frac{1}{n+2} \omega(P_* \varphi_* X) = \frac{1}{n+2} P^* \hat{\varphi}^* \omega(X) \\ &= \lambda_t \cdot g(X, \mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n+2} P^* \hat{\lambda}_t \cdot P^* \omega(X). \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(8.1.12) \quad \hat{\varphi}_t^* \omega = \hat{\lambda}_t \cdot \omega \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

This implies that

$$(8.1.13) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{t*} \text{Ker } \omega = \text{Ker } \omega.$$

Recall that there is a complex structure J on $\text{Ker } \omega$. For convenience, we put $\tilde{J}$ on $P^* \text{Ker } \omega$ formally such that $P_* : P^* \text{Ker } \omega \rightarrow \text{Ker } \omega$ is almost complex, i.e. $P_* \circ \tilde{J} = J \circ P_*$.

Let $X, Y \in P^* \text{Ker } \omega$. Calculate

$$(8.1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_t^* g(-X, Y) &= g(-\varphi_{t*} X, \varphi_{t*} Y) \\ &= d\omega(JP_*(-\varphi_{t*} X), P_*(\varphi_{t*} Y)) \quad \text{by (8.1.8)} \\ &= d\omega(-J\hat{\varphi}_{t*} P_* X, \hat{\varphi}_{t*} P_* Y) \\ &= d\omega(\hat{\varphi}_{t*} P_* X, J\hat{\varphi}_{t*} P_* Y). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\hat{\lambda}_t \cdot d\omega = \hat{\varphi}_t^* d\omega$ on $\text{Ker } \omega$, calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.1.15) \quad & \varphi_t^* g(-X, Y) = \lambda_t \cdot g(-X, Y) \\
 & = \lambda_t \cdot d\omega(JP_*(-X), P_*Y) = \lambda_t \cdot d\omega(P_*X, JP_*Y) \\
 & = \lambda_t \cdot d\omega(P_*X, P_*(\tilde{J})Y) = P^*(\hat{\lambda}_t \cdot d\omega)(X, \tilde{J}Y) \\
 & = \hat{\lambda}_t \cdot d\omega(P_*X, P_*(\tilde{J}Y)) = \hat{\lambda}_t \cdot d\omega(P_*X, JP_*Y) \\
 & = \hat{\varphi}_t^* d\omega(P_*X, JP_*Y) \\
 & = d\omega(\hat{\varphi}_t^* P_*X, \hat{\varphi}_t^* JP_*Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $d\omega$ is nondegenerate on $\text{Ker } \omega$, we conclude that

$$(8.1.16) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{t*} J = J \hat{\varphi}_{t*} \text{ on } \text{Ker } \omega.$$

Let $\text{Aut}_{CR}(N)$ be the group of CR -transformations of (ω, J) on N . By the definition, $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Aut}_{CR}(N)$. Note that $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is closed by the hypothesis. Moreover, the action of $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is nontrivial on N by Proposition 8.1.1. Hence, $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a closed noncompact subgroup in $\text{Aut}_{CR}(N)$. It follows from the CR -analogue of Obata-Ferrand rigidity (for example [15], [30], [10], [22], [29]) that N is CR -isomorphic to the standard sphere S^{2n+1} . Then $(S^1 \times N, g)$ is conformally flat by Theorem 7.4.2. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a lift of $\mathcal{C}$ to $\tilde{M}$. By Proposition 5.1.1, we have the developing pair:

$$(8.1.17) \quad (\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev}) : (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{M}) \longrightarrow (\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim, \tilde{S}^{2n+1, 1}).$$

Recall that $\mathbf{R}$ is the center of $\text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim$ which is the kernel of projection $\tilde{P} : \text{U}(n+1, 1)^\sim \rightarrow \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is the center of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{N}$ in $\text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ (cf. (6.1.1)). Let $\tilde{S}^1$ be a lift of lightlike one-parameter subgroup to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We show that

$$(8.1.18) \quad \tilde{\rho} : \tilde{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

is isomorphic. For this, put $\mathbf{G} = \overline{\tilde{P} \circ \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{S}^1)} \subset \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ as in (6.1.7). **Causality (1)** and **(2)** in Section 7 yield that

$$\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{H}_1) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{R} & \text{if } \mathbf{G} \neq \{1\}, \\ \mathbf{R} & \text{if } \mathbf{G} = \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathbf{G} \neq \{1\}$, then by (ii) of Theorem 7.4.1 the developing pair reduces to

$$(\tilde{\rho}, \text{dev}) : (\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}^1), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R} \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n)), \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N})$$

where $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n+1} - \mathbb{R}^1 \cdot \infty = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{N}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}^1)$ and $\mathbf{R} \times (\mathcal{N} \rtimes \text{U}(n))$ is transitive on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{N}$ with compact stabilizer $\text{U}(n)$, $\tilde{M}$ admits a $\pi \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ -invariant *Riemannian metric* $\tilde{g}$. Taking the quotient, it follows that $\mathcal{C} \leq \text{Isom}(M, \mathbf{g})$. As M is compact, $\text{Isom}(M)$ is compact. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a

closed noncompact subgroup (in $\text{Diff}(M)$) by our hypothesis, this case cannot occur.

Then $\mathbf{G} = \{1\}$ and so $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{S}^1) = \mathbf{R}$. It follows from (i) of Theorem 7.4.1 that M is a Seifert fiber space over a spherical CR orbifold M/S^1 . In our case, $M/S^1 = \tilde{M}/\tilde{S}^1 = N$ which is simply connected. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(8.1.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & \mathbf{R} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{dev}}} & \mathbf{R} \times S^{2n+1} \\ P \downarrow & & \tilde{P} \downarrow \\ N & \xrightarrow{\text{dev}} & S^{2n+1}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the CR -structure (ω, J) on N coincides with the pullback of the standard CR -structure (ω_0, J_0) of S^{2n+1} . In fact, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 7.4.2 that

$$(8.1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{u} \cdot \omega &= \hat{\text{dev}}^* \omega_0, \\ \hat{\text{dev}}_* \hat{J} &= J_0 \text{dev}_* \quad \text{on } \text{Ker } \omega \end{aligned}$$

As $(N, (\omega, J))$ is CR -isomorphic to S^{2n+1} as above, there exists an element $h \in \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ such that $h \circ \hat{\text{dev}} : N \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ is a CR -diffeomorphism. (As a consequence, $\hat{\text{dev}}$ itself is a CR -diffeomorphism.) By the diagram (8.1.19), $\tilde{\text{dev}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times S^{2n+1}$ is a conformal diffeomorphism. Taking a quotient, $\tilde{\text{dev}}$ induces a conformal diffeomorphism $\text{dev} : M \rightarrow S^1 \times S^{2n+1}$.

□

Remark 8.1.1. Let $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ be a Lorentz space form of negative constant curvature where $\Gamma \leq \text{O}(2, 2)^0 = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \cdot \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a subgroup acting properly discontinuously on $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (cf. [20]). If we choose

$$\Gamma \leq \text{SO}(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}),$$

then $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ is a spherical CR -space form because there is a canonical identification:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SO}(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) &= \text{U}(1, 1) < \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1, 1) < \text{U}(2, 1), \\ \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) &= S^3 - S^1. \end{aligned}$$

(1) Thus $M = S^1 \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ is a conformally flat Fefferman-Lorentz 4-manifold on which S^1 acts as lightlike isometries by the definition.

(2) Recall that $(\mathrm{PO}(4, 2), S^{3,1})$ is the conformally flat Lorentz geometry. Let $(\mathrm{O}(4, 2), S^1 \times S^3)$ be the two fold covering. The subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(4, 2)$ preserving $S^1 \times (S^3 - S^1) = S^1 \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(2, 2)$. When we restrict Γ to $\{1\} \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we obtain a conformally flat Lorentz parabolic manifold $M = S^1 \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ on which $S^1 = \mathrm{SO}(2)$ acts as spacelike isometries. The subgroup

$$\mathrm{SO}(2) \times (\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \{1\})$$

of $\mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(2, 2)$ acts conformally on M . Let $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) = KAN$ be the decomposition as usual. In particular,

(3) M admits the two-dimensional closed noncompact conformal group $C = S^1 \times N \times \{1\}$ consisting of spacelike and lightlike transformations. However, C does not belong to $\mathrm{U}(2, 1)$ because

$$\mathrm{U}(1) = C \cap \mathrm{U}(2, 1) \leq (\mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(2, 2)) \cap \mathrm{U}(2, 1) = \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1, 1)$$

so C does not preserve the Fefferman-Lorentz parabolic structure.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Barbot, V. Charette, T. Drumm, W. Goldman, K. Melnick, *A primer on the $(2 + 1)$ Einstein universe*, 179-229, ESI Lecture notes. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich 2008.
- [2] G. Bredon, 'Introduction to compact transformation groups,' Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [3] M. Cahen and Y. Kerbrat, *Domaines symétriques des quadriques projectives*, J. math. Pures Appl. (9) 62, 327-248 (1983).
- [4] S.S. Chen and L. Greenberg, *Hyperbolic Spaces*, Contribution to Analysis (A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, eds. L. Ahlfors and others), Academic Press, New York and London, 49-87 (1974).
- [5] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, North-Holland 1975.
- [6] G. D'Ambra, "Isometry groups of Lorentz manifolds," *Invent. Math.* 92, 555-565 (1988).
- [7] G. D'Ambra and M. Gromov, *Lectures on transformation groups* Surveys in Diff. Geom. vol. 1, 19-111 (1990).
- [8] C. Fefferman, *Monge-Ampère equations, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudo-convex domains*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 103, 395-416 (1976).
- [9] C. Fefferman, *Parabolic invariant theory*, *Advances in Math.* 31, 131-262 (1979).
- [10] C. Frances, *Lorentzian Kleinian groups*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 80, no.4. 883-910 (2005).
- [11] C. Frances and K. Melnick, *Conformal actions of nilpotent groups on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Preprint.
- [12] W. Goldman, *Complex hyperbolic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, 1999.

- [13] Y. Kamishima, *Nondegenerate conformal, CR, Quaternionic CR structure on manifolds*, *Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry*, (ed. Vicente Cortes), EMS in the series IRMA lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics. Vol. 16, 863-896 (2010).
- [14] Y. Kamishima, *Heisenberg, Spherical CR geometry and Bochner flat locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, Vol. 3, Nos. 5-6, 1089-1116 (2006).
- [15] Y. Kamishima, *Geometric flows on compact manifolds and global rigidity*, *Topology*, 35, 439-450 (1996).
- [16] Y. Kamishima, *Completeness of Lorentz manifolds of constant curvature admitting Killing vector fields*, *J. Differential Geom.*, vol. 37, 569-601 (1993).
- [17] Y. Kamishima and T. Tsuboi, *CR-structures on Seifert manifolds*, *Invent. Math.*, vol. 104, 149-163 (1991).
- [18] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, *Ergebnisse Math.*, vol. 70, 1970.
- [19] J. L. Koszul, 'Lectures on groups of transformations,' *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay*, Notes by R. R. Simha and R. Sridharan, 1965.
- [20] R.S. Kulkarni, F. Raymond, *3-dimensional Lorentz space-forms and Seifert fiber spaces*, *J. Differential Geom.* 21, no. 2, 231-268 (1985).
- [21] J. M. Lee, *The Fefferman metric and pseudoHermitian invariants*, *Trans. A.M.S.* 296, no. 1, 411-429 (1986).
- [22] J. M. Lee, *CR manifolds with noncompact connected automorphisms groups*, *J. Geom. Anal.*, (1)6, 79-90 (1996).
- [23] J. Lelong-Ferrand, *Transformations conformes et quasi conformes des variétés riemanniennes compactes*, *Acad. Roy. Belgique Sci. Mem. Coll.*, 8, 1-44 (1971).
- [24] K.B. Lee and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds*, *Handbook of geometric topology*, 635-705, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [25] M. Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 6, 247-258 (1971).
- [26] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press Inc., 1983.
- [27] R. S. Palais, 'A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups,' *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol 22 (1957).
- [28] R. W. Richardson, Jr., "Deformation of Lie subgroups and the variation of the isotropy subgroups," *Acta. Math.* 129, 35-73 (1972).
- [29] R. Schoen, *On the conformal and CR automorphism groups*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (2)5, 464-481 (1995).
- [30] S. Webster *On the transformation group of a real hypersurfaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol 231 (2), 179-190 (1977).
- [31] J. Wolf, 'Spaces of constant curvature,' *McGraw-Hill, Inc.*, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY,
MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN.

E-mail address: kami@tmu.ac.jp